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## Cultural Effects in BiLAT
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## Social Science underpinnings

$\ominus$ Schemas: frameworks for organizing knowledge and actions such as scripts, stereotypes and worldviews. [DiMaggio 97]
$\ominus$ Conventionalized cultural behaviors
$\ominus$ Cultural norms, Biases
$\theta$ Theory of mind: the ability to understand that others have beliefs, desires and intentions that are different from one's own. [Nichols \& Stich 2003]
$\ominus$ Cultural awareness
$\theta$ Biases
$\ominus$ Shared Symbols: members of a culture share a common mapping from perceived symbols (objects, gestures, words...) to internal concepts. [Warner 1959, Shweder \& Levine 2003]
$\ominus$ Culturally-specific perception
$\ominus$ Cross cultural misperception

## Examples of Socio-Cultural States

agent is threatened

## participant is respectful


agent is familiar with participant

## $C A B$ in a nutshell

$\ominus$ Agent is selecting among alternative courses of action (i.e., plans)
$\ominus$ The plan with the highest utility wins
$\ominus$ Utility of a plan is a sum of utilities of its states
$\ominus$ Utility of a state is belief * state utility
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## Psychological Underpinnings



Figure 1: The cognitive-motivational-emotive system. Adapted from Smith and Lazarus' (1990)

## Appraisals

| Table 1: Appraisal Variables |  | Does the event require attention or adaptive reaction |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Relevance | Does the event facilitate or thwart what the person wants |  |
| Desirability | What causal agent was responsible for an event |  |
| Causal <br> attribution | Agency | Blame and Credit |
|  | Does the causal agent deserve blame or credit |  |
| Likelihood | How likely was the event; how likely is an outcome |  |
| Unexpectedness | Was the event predicted from past knowledge |  |
| Urgency | Will delaying a response make matters worse |  |
| Ego Involvement | To what extent does the event impact a person's sense of self (social esteem, <br> moral values, cherished beliefs, etc.) |  |
| Coping <br> potential | Controllability | The extent to which an event can be influenced |
|  | Changeability | The extent to which an event will change of its own accord |
|  | Power | The power of a particular agent to directly or indirectly control an event |
|  | Adaptability | Can the person live with the consequences of the event |

[from Gratch and Marsella 2004]

## Emotions

| Table 3: Emotion categorization and intensity rules |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Appraisal Configuration | Emotion | Intensity |
| Desirability $(\mathrm{p})>0, \operatorname{Likelihood}(\mathrm{p})<1.0$ | Hope | Desirability (p) $\times$ Likelihood(p) |
| Desirability $(\mathrm{p})>0, \operatorname{Likelihood}(\mathrm{p})=1.0$ | Joy | Desirability (p) $\times$ Likelihood(p) |
| Desirability $(\mathrm{p})<0, \operatorname{Likelihood}(\mathrm{p})<1.0$ | Fear | $\mid$ Desirability $(\mathrm{p}) \times$ Likelihood(p)\| |
| $\operatorname{Desirability}(\mathrm{p})<0, \operatorname{Likelihood}(\mathrm{p})=1.0$ | Distress | $\mid$ Desirability $(\mathrm{p}) \times$ Likelihood(p)\| |
| $\operatorname{Desirability}(\mathrm{p})<0$, causal attribution $(\mathrm{q})=$ blameworthy | Anger | $\mid$ Desirability $(\mathrm{p}) \times$ Likelihood(p)\| |
| $\operatorname{Desirability}(\mathrm{q} \neq \mathrm{p})<0$, causal attribution $(\mathrm{p})=$ blameworthy, causal agent $=p$ | Guilt | $\mid$ Desirability (q) $\times$ Likelihood(p)\| |

[from Gratch and Marsella 2004]

## EMotion \& Adaptation


[from Gratch and Marsella 2004]

## "Macro-EMA"

$\ominus$ In the following, we are not covering
$\ominus$ mood
$\ominus$ some emotions
$\ominus$ causal attributions
$\ominus$ coping

## EMA in Virtual Humans

$\ominus$ Agent is selecting among alternative courses of action (i.e., plans)
$\ominus$ The plan with the highest utility wins
$\ominus$ Utility of a plan is cumulative weighted utility of emotions elicited by appraisals of the plan
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## Outline

Ө Bilateral negotiations (BiLAT)


$\Theta$

$\ominus$ CEMA $=\mathrm{CAB}+\mathrm{EMA}$
$\ominus$ Future work
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$\ominus$ Second, update beliefs:


## current beliefs

| community <br> is helped | 0.4 |
| :---: | :---: |
| respectful <br> of <br> modesty | -0.5 |



II

## Matrix CEMA

$\ominus$ Second, update beliefs:

| community <br> is helped | 0.4 |
| :---: | :---: |
| respectful <br> of <br> modesty | -0.5 |

current beliefs

| community <br> is helped | 0.2 |
| :--- | :--- |
| respectful <br> of <br> modesty | 0.9 |

new beliefs

$=$| community <br> is helped | 0.6 |
| :---: | :---: |
| respectful <br> of <br> modesty | 0.4 |
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$\ominus$ Third, compute plan utility

new beliefs

plan utility


## Matrix CEMA

$\ominus$ Intention probability
$\ominus$ Agent's actions conditional on state degrees

- Appraisals
$\ominus$ Emotion elicitation
$\ominus$ Can all be encoded in the matrix form


## Appraisal + Elicitation: Hope

- hopeMask = (degrees < 1.0) .* (utilities > 0);

ө intensity = abs(degrees .* utilities);
ө hopeInstances = hopeMask .* intensity;

- hope $=$ sum(hopeInstances);
$\ominus$ Actual 4 lines of code in MATLAB


## Matrix Advantages

$\ominus$ code becomes a series of one-liners
$\ominus$ transparent and easy to follow
$\ominus$ complete separation of data and code
$\ominus$ cultures and personalities are just matrices
$\ominus$ machine learning of culture and personality parameters
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## Future Work: Machine Learning

$\ominus$ Both CAB and EMA have a large number of parameters:
$\ominus$ action add/delete effects
$\Theta$ concern values
$\ominus$ intention probability function
$\ominus$ Where do they come from?
$\ominus$ Machine learning using historic data?

# Future Work: Learning Heuristic Search 

- Lots of (recent) work in learning in heuristic search:
- Korf, Ishida, Russell, Wefald, Shue, Zamani, Barto, Shimbo, Koenig, Furcy, Shang, Bulitko, Hernandez, Meseguer, Sigmundarson, Bjornsson, Likhachev, Rayner, Lu, Anderson, Lustrek, et al.

- Powerful methods
$\ominus$ Search does not have to happen in gridworlds or I5-puzzles
$\ominus$ Can be in negotiation space in BiLAT
$\ominus$ Links to both culture and emotions



## Future Work: Emotions from Culture

$\ominus$ Can there be situations where culture or emotions by themselves do not model the right behavior?
$\ominus$ Example:
$\ominus$ agent's cultural norms are threatened or jeopardized $\rightarrow$ causes fear or distress
$\ominus$ agent is fearful of being viewed as unobservant of Islam
$\ominus$ i.e., appraising and eliciting emotions over a socio-cultural network

## Contributions

$\ominus$ Simultaneous modeling of emotions and culture
$\ominus$ provisions for modeling emotions from cultural states
$\ominus$ Formal re-write of CAB and EMA in matrix form
$\ominus$ computationally effective
$\theta$ transparent/simple representation/implementation
$\ominus$ easy ways to add personality and religion
$\ominus$ provisions for easier machine learning
$\ominus$ provisions for learning real-time heuristic search

