Chapter Notes

Chapter 1: An Overview of Technical Writing

- 1. Paul V. Anderson, "What Survey Research Tells Us about Writing at Work," in *Writing in Nonacademic Settings*, ed. Lee Odell and Dixie Goswami (New York: Guilford, 1985), 30.
 - 2. Anderson 40.
 - 3. Anderson 54.
- 4. Philip W. Swain, "Giving Power to Words," *American Journal of Physics* 13 (1945): 320.

Chapter 2: Composing

- 1. Stephen S. Hall, "Aplysia and Hermissenda," *Science* 85, (May 1985) 33.
- 2. Lester Faigley and Thomas P. Miller, "What We Learn from Writing on the Job," *College English* 44 (1982): 562–63.
- 3. Lee Odell, Dixie Goswami, Anne Herrington, and Doris Quick, "Studying Writing in Non-Academic Settings," in *New Essays in Technical and Scientific Communication: Research, Theory, Practice*, ed. Paul V. Anderson, R. John Brockmann, and Carolyn R. Miller (Farmingdale, NY: Baywood, 1983), 27–28.
- 4. Lillian Bridwell and Ann Duin, "Looking In-Depth at Writers: Computers as Writing Medium and Research Tool," in *Writing On-Line: Using Computers in the Teaching of Writing*, ed. J. L. Collins and E. A. Sommers (Montclair, NJ: Boyton/Cook, 1985), 119.

Chapter 3: Writing Collaboratively

1. In this chapter we are indebted to the following: Rebecca E. Burnett, "Substantive Conflict in a Cooperative Context: A Way to Improve the Collaborative Planning of Workplace Documents," Technical Communication 38 (1991): 532-39. Mary Beth Debs, "Collaborative Writing in Industry," in Technical Writing: Theory and Practice, ed. Bertie E. Fearing and W. Keats Sparrow (New York: Modern Language Association, 1989), 33–42. Collaborative Writing in Industry: Investigations in Theory and Practice, ed. Mary M. Lay and William M. Karis (Amityville, NY: Baywood, 1991). In this anthology, we are particularly indebted to David K. Farkas, "Collaborative Writing, Software Development, and the Universe of Collaborative Activity," 13-30; James R. Weber, "The Construction of Multi-Authored Texts in One Laboratory Setting," 49–64; Barbara Couture and Jone Rymer, "Discourse Interaction between Writer and Supervisor: A Primary Collaboration in Workplace Writing," 87–108; Ann Hill Duin, Linda A. Jorn, and Mark S. DeBower, "Collaborative Writing: Courseware and Telecommunications," 146–169; and William Van Pelt and Alice Gillam, "Peer Collaboration and the Computer-Assisted Classroom: Bridging the Gap between Academia and the Workplace," 170–206. Evelyn Jaffe Schreiber, "Workplace

Teams and Writing Groups: Team Management Theory and the Collaborative Writing Process," *Issues in Writing* 8 (fall/winter 1996): 54–75.

- 2. Schreiber, 59.
- 3. Ibid., 58.
- 4. For more on this, see Edgar R. Thompson, "Ensuring the Success of Peer Revision Groups," in *Focus on Collaborative Learning*, ed. Jeff Golub (Urbana, IL: NCTE, 1988), 109–116.
- 5. For this exercise we are indebted to Bridget M. Newall, "Evaluating, Web Sites, A Teaching Tip," ed. Gregory A. Wickliff, *Intercom*, June 1999, 34–35, 41.

Chapter 5: Achieving a Readable Style

- 1. John Henkel, "Drugs of the Deep," FDA *Consumer*, January–February 1998, 30.
- 2. Dixie Farley, "Bone Builders," FDA *Consumer*, September–October 1997, 27.
- 3. Steven R. Brantley, *Volcanoes of the United States* (Washington, DC: U.S. Geological Survey, 1994), 39.
- 4. U.S. Geological Survey, *Our Changing Continent* (Washington, DC: GPO, 1991), 3–4.
- 5. Janice C. Redish and Jack Selzer, "The Place of Readability Formulas in Technical Communication," *Technical Communication*, 4th quarter, 1985, 49.
- 6. Francis Christensen, "Notes Toward a New Rhetoric," *College English*, October 1963, 7–18.
- 7. Daniel B. Felker, Frances Pickering, Veda R. Charrow, V. Melissa Holland, and Janice C. Redish, *Guidelines for Document Designers* (Washington, DC: American Institutes for Research, 1981), 47–48.
 - 8. Ibid., 64.
 - 9. Ibid., 65.
- 10. Quoted in Janice C. Redish, *The Language of Bureaucracy* (Washington, DC: American Institutes for Research, 1981), 1.
- 11. CBE Style Manual Committee, CBE *Style Manual*, 5th ed. (Bethesda, MD: Council of Biology Editors, 1983), 38.
 - 12. Ibid., 38.
- 13. For a perceptive essay on elegant variation, see H. W. Fowler, *A Dictionary of Modern English Usage* (New York: Oxford University Press, 1950), 130–33.
- 14. The excerpts from the St. Paul Fire and Marine Insurance Company's old and new personal liability catastrophe policy are reprinted with the permission of the St. Paul Companies, St. Paul, MN. 55102.
- 15. Kenneth W. Houp, Thomas E. Pearsall, Elizabeth Tebeaux, Susan Cody, and Ann Boyd, *Reporting Technical Information*, Canadian ed. (Scarborough, Ontario: Allyn & Bacon Canada, 1996).

- 16. CBE Style Manual, 36–37. Reproduced with permission from CBE *Style Manual*, 5th edition. Copyright 1983, CBE Style Manual Committee, Council of Biology Editors, Inc.
 - 17. "Planners Outlaw Jargon," Plain English, April 1981, 1.
- 18. National Institutes of Health, *Inside the Cell* (Washington, DC: Department of Health and Human Services, 1990), 48.

Chapter 6: Writing Ethically

- 1. In writing this chapter, we have drawn upon the following books and articles: John Bryan, "Down the Slippery Slope: Ethics and the Technical Writer as Marketer," *Technical Communication Quarterly*, winter 1992, 73–88; Sam Dragga, "A Question of Ethics: Lessons from Technical Communicators on the Job," *Technical Communication Quarterly*, spring 1997, 161–178; William K. Franken, *Ethics* (Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall, 1963); Dean G. Hall and Bonnie A. Nelson, "Integrating Professional Ethics into the Technical Writing Course," *Journal of Technical Writing and Communication* 17 (1987): 45–61; Mike Markel, "A Basic Unit on Ethics for Technical Communicators," *Journal of Technical Writing and Communication* 21 (1991): 327–50; H. Lee Shimberg, "Ethics and Rhetoric in Technical Writing," *Technical Communication*, 4th quarter 1978, 16–18; and Arthur E. Walzer, "The Ethics of False Implicature in Technical and Professional Writing," *Journal of Technical Writing and Communication* 19 (1989): 149–60.
- 2. George F. R. Ellis, quoted in W. Wayt Gibbs, "Profile: George F. R. Ellis: Thinking Globally, Acting Universally," *Scientific American*, October 1995, 55.
- 3. In writing this section on ethical graphics we have drawn on Edward R. Tufte, *The Visual Display of Quantitative Information* (Cheshire, CN: Graphics Press, 1983), 53–87.
- 4. Engineering Ethics Cases Section, *Online Ethics Center for Engineering and Science*. National Science Foundation. http://onlineethics.org/cases/engcases.html (1 February 1999).
- 5. Environmental Protection Agency, *Consumer's Guide to Radon Reduction* (Washington, DC: GPO, 1992).
- 6. The source for this exercise is Gray Matters Mini Cases, *Online Ethics Center for Engineering and Science*. National Science Foundation. http://onlineethics.org/text/corp/graymatters/case93.html (7 February 2000).

Chapter 7: Writing for International Readers

- 1. Geert H. Hofsted, *Culture's Consequences*, 2d ed. (Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage, 2000), *Cultures and Organizations: Software of the Mind*, London: McGraw-Hill, 1997.
- 2. Fong Trompenaars, *Riding the Waves of Culture*, 2d ed. (London: The Economist Books, 1993).

- 3. Edward T. Hall and Mildred Reed Hall, *Understanding Cultural Difference*. (Yarmouth, ME: Intercultural Press, 1990).
- 4. Lisa Hecklin, *Managing Cultural Differences: Strategies for Competitive Advantage*. (Reading, MA: Addison-Wesley, 1995).
 - 5. Ibid., 35.
- 6. William Horton, "The Almost Universal Language: Graphics for International Documents," *Technical Communication*, 4th quarter, 1993, 682–693.

Chapter 9: Presenting Information

- 1. Steven R. Brantley, *Volcanoes of the United States* (Washington, DC: Department of the Interior, Geological Survey, 1995), 20–22.
- 2. Department of Commerce, *How We're Changing* (Washington, DC: Bureau of the Census, 1997), 1.
- 3. These quotations are from Geological Survey, *Safety and Survival in an Earthquake* (Washington, DC: GPO, 1991), 3–5.
- 4. William B. Gudykunst, *Bridging Differences: Effective Intergroup Communication* (Newbury Park, CA: Sage, 1991), 44.
- 5. Stephan Wilkinson, "Tiny Keys to Our Electronic Future," Raytheon Magazine, winter 1985, 4.
- 6. National Aeronautics and Space Administration, *Exploring the Universe with the Hubble Space Telescope* (Washington, DC: GPO, n.d.), 11.
- 7. "If All Our Reporters Were Laid End to End," *The Wall Street Journal*, 4 April 1983, 10.
- 8. Raymond H. Beal, Joe K. Mauldin, and Susan C. Jones, *Subterranean Termites: Their Prevention and Control* (Washington, DC: Department of Agriculture, 1989), 1.
- 9. Centers for Disease Control, *The Public Health Consequences of Disasters* (Washington, DC: Department of Health and Human Services, 1989), 33.
- 10. U.S. Congress, Office of Technology Assessment, *Biological Effects of Power Frequency Electric and Magnetic Fields* (Washington, DC: GPO, 1989), 19.
- 11. National Institutes of Health, *Inside the Cell* (Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, 1990), 21.
- 12. Robert I. Tilling, Christina Heliker, and Thomas Wright, *Eruptions of Hawaiian Volcanoes: Past, Present, and Future* (Washington, DC: U.S. Geological Survey, 1987), 17.
- 13. National Aeronautics and Space Administration, *Planetary Exploration through Year 2000* (Washington, DC: GPO, 1988), 30–31.
- 14. David L. Wallace and John R. Hayes, "Redefining Revision for Freshmen," *Research in the Teaching of English* 25 (1991), 58–59.

Chapter 10: Analyzing Information

- 1. Department of the Interior, *Southeast Wetlands* (Washington, DC: GPO, 1994), 7.
- 2. Office of Technology Assessment, *Advanced Automotive Technology* (Washington, DC: GPO, 1995), 1–2
- 3. Department of Energy, *Earth-Sheltered Homes* (Washington, DC: GPO, 1997), 1–2, 8.
- 4. W. Jacquelyne Kious and Robert I. Tilling, *This Dynamic Earth* (Washington, DC: Department of the Interior, Geological Survey, n.d.), 1.
- 5. Department of State, Bureau of Oceans and International Environmental and Scientific Affairs, *Environmental Diplomacy* (Washington, DC: GPO, 1997), 14–15.
- 6. Lucy E. Edwards and John Pojeta, Jr., Fossils, Rocks, and Time (Washington, DC: Department of the Interior, Geological Survey, 1993), 11.
- 7. For a more detailed explanation of Toulmin logic, see Steven Toulmin, Richard Rieke, and Allan Janik, *An Introduction to Reasoning*, 2d ed. (New York: Macmillan, 1984) and Stephen Toulmin, The Uses of Argument (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1958).
 - 8. "Are We Seeing Global Warming," Science, 9 May 1997, 915.
 - 9. Michael D. Lemmick, "Hot Air in Kyoto," Time, 8 Dec 1997, 79.
- 10. Gregg Easterbrook, "Hot Air Treaty," *U.S. News & World Report*, 22 Dec 1997, 46.
- 11. Quoted in Richard A. Kerr, "Greenhouse Forecasting Still Cloudy," *Science*, 16 May 1997, 1042.
 - 12. Quoted in Kerr 1042.
- 13. Thomas R. Carl, Neville Nichols, and Jonathan Gregory, "The Coming Climate," *Scientific American*, May 1997, 83.
- 14. "Science and Solutions," *Global Climate Change*, 1997. fe.doe.gov/issues/globalclimate_intro.html (10 Jan 1999).

Chapter 11: Document Design

- 1. For a rationale for teaching design, see Stephen A. Bernhardt, "Seeing the Text," *College Composition and Communication* 37 (1986): 66–78. For a research-based summary of document design principles for paper documents, see Philippa J. Benson, "Writing Visually: Design Considerations in *Technical Publications*," Technical Communication 32, 4 (1985) 35–39.
- 2. Janice C. Redish, "Understanding Readers," in *Techniques for Technical Communicators*, ed. Carol Barnum and Saul Carliner (New York: Macmillan, 1993), 14–41.
- 3. William Horton lists many types of online documentation in his book, *Designing and Writing Online Documentation*, 2d ed. (New York: Wiley, 1994).
- 4. R. Kruk and P. Muter, "Reading of Continuous Text on Video Screens," *Human Factors* 26.3 (1984): 339–45; John Gould, "Why Is Reading Slower from CRT Screens Than from Paper?" *Proceedings of the Human Factors Society,* 30th Annual Meeting (Dayton, OH, Human Factors Society, 1986) 834–35.

- 5. Thomas N. Huckin, "A Cognitive Approach to Readability," *in New Essays in Technical and Scientific Communication: Research, Theory, and Practice*, ed. Paul V. Anderson, R. John Brockmann, and Carolyn R. Miller (Farmingdale, NY: Baywood, 1983) 90–101; Janice C. Redish, Robin M. Battison, and Edward S. Gold, "Making Information Accessible to Readers," in *Writing in Non-Academic Settings*, ed. Lee Odell and Dixie Goswami (New York: Guilford, 1985), 129–53.
- 6. These books will give you more information about designing effective paper documents: Jan V. White, *Graphic Design for the Electronic Age* (New York: Watson-Guptill, 1988); and John Miles, *Design for Desktop Publishing* (San Francisco: Chronicle Books, 1987). These books will give you more information about designing effective online documents, help screens, and World Wide Web pages: Horton, *Online*; William K. Horton, Lee Taylor, Arthur Ignacio, and Nancy L. Hoft, *The World Wide Web Cookbook* (New York: Wiley, 1996). For information on the WWW about designing Web pages, check the links that are available through the home page of the Society for Technical Communication at http://stc.org.
 - 7. White 20.
- 8. Rolf F. Rehe, *Typography: How to Make It Most Legible*, 3rd ed. (Carmel, IN: Design Research International, 1979), 34; Elizabeth Keyes, "Typography, Color, and Information Structure," *Technical Communication* 40.4 (1993); 638–54; and Elizabeth Keyes, David Sykes, and Elain Lewis, "Technology + Design + Research = Information Design," *Text, ConText, and HyperText*, ed. Edward Barrett (Cambridge, MA: MIT Press, 1988), 251–64.
- 9. M. Gregory and E. C. Poulton, "Even Versus Uneven Right Margins and the Rate of Comprehension in Reading," Ergonomics (1970): 427–34; Rehe 34.
- 10. M. A. Tinker, *Legibility of Print* (Ames, IA: Iowa State University Press, 1969); J. Foster and P. Coles, "An Experimental Study of Typographical Cueing in Printed Text," *Ergonomics* (1977): 57–66.
- 11. For more on using color effectively, see also Gerald M. Murch, "Using Color Effectively: Designing to Human Specifications," *Technical Communication* 32.4 (1985): 14–20; Horton, *Online*, 241–45; Horton et al., *Web Cookbook*, 242–43, 420–21.
- 12. Linda Flower, John R. Hayes, and Heidi Swarts, "in Revising Functional Documents: The Scenario Principle," *New Essays in Technical and Scientific Communication: Research, Theory, and Practice*, ed. Paul V. Anderson, R. John Brockmann, and Carolyn R. Miller (Farmingdale, NY: Baywood, 1983), 41–58.
 - 13. White 95-106; Miles 42-46.

Chapter 14: The Strategies and Communications of the Job Hunt

1. In writing this chapter, we have drawn upon three publications from the U.S. Department of Labor: *Job Search Guide: Strategies for Professionals* (Washington, DC: GPO, 1993), *Tips for Finding the Right Job* (Washington, DC: GPO, 1992), and *Tomorrow's Jobs* (Washington, DC: GPO, 1998).

- 2. Job Search Guide, 24–25.
- 3. Job Search Guide, 22.
- 4. Avery Comarow, "Tracking the Elusive Job," *The Graduate* (Knoxville: Approach 13-30 Corporation, 1977), 42.

Chapter 17: Empirical Research Reports

- 1. Deborah Hicks, "Working through Discourse Genres in School," Research in the Teaching of English 31 (1997): 490.
- 2. Nathalie Goubert and Rachel K. Clifton, "Object and Event Representation in 6 1/2-Month-Old Infants," *Developmental Psychology* 34 (1998): 64–65.
- 3. Leslie K. Johnson, "Sexual Selection in a Brentid Weevil," *Evolution* 36 (1982): 251.
- 4. Rod Judkins, "Iron Aluminum Filters for Advanced PFBCs," ID 33106, Office of Fossil Energy, Department of Energy. http://www.fe.doe.gov/ (5 March 2000).
- 5. J. J. Bull, R. C. Vogt, and C. J. McCoy, "Sex Determining Temperatures in Turtles: A Geographic Comparison," *Evolution* 36 (1982): 326.
- 6. Gerald L. Chan and John B. Little, "Further Studies on the Survival of Non-Proliferating Diploid Fibroblasts Irradiated with Ultraviolet Light," *International Journal of Radiation Biology* 41 (1982): 360.
- 7. Sylvie Pouteau, Fiona Tooke, and Nicholas Battey, "Quantitative Control of Inflorescence Formation in *Impatiens balsamina," Plant Physiology* 118 (1998): 1192.

Chapter 18: Instructions

- 1. G. B. Harrison, *Profession of English* (New York: Harcourt, 1962), 149.
- 2. The questions posed here are based on questions presented by Janice C. Redish, Robbin M. Battison, and Edward S. Gold, "Making Information Accessible to Readers," *Writing in Nonacademic Settings*, ed. Lee Odell and Dixie Goswami (New York: Guilford, 1985), 139–43.
- 3. Dwayne Isbell, *Hybrid Touch/Sound System Installation*, 1. Student paper reprinted by permission.
- 4. Department of Health and Human Services, *Eating to Lower Your High Blood Cholesterol* (Washington, DC: GPO, 1989), 1.
 - 5. Isbell, 1.
- 6. Adapted from Environmental Protection Agency, *Manual of Individual and Non-Public Water Supply Systems* (Washington, DC: GPO, 1991), 55–56.
 - 7. Shoe box for Sebago Docksiders.
- 8. Charles H. Sides, *How to Write Papers and Reports About Computer Technology* (Philadelphia: ISI, 1984), 70.
- 9. Environmental Protection Agency, *Citizen's Guide to Pesticides* (Washington, DC: GPO, 1991), 8.

- 10. Department of Agriculture, *Simple Home Repairs: Inside* (Washington, DC: GPO, 1986), 7–8.
 - 11. Ibid., 16.
- 12. Protocol analysis material furnished by Professor Victoria Mikelonis, U of Minnesota, St. Paul, MN.
- 13. For this exercise we are indebted to Stephanie Zerkel, "Technical Writing for Non-Traditional Students," A Teaching Tip, ed. Gregory A. Wickliff, *Intercom*, May 1998, 34–35.

Chapter 19: Oral Reports

- 1. These introspections were compiled at a session of the National Training Laboratory in Group Development in Bethel, Maine, which one of the authors attended.
- 2. The material on visual aids was adapted from material especially prepared for this chapter by Professor James Connolly of the University of Minnesota.

Appendix A: Handbook

- 1. Publication Manual of the American Psychological Association, 4th ed. (Washington, DC: APA, 1994), 83.
- 2. Department of Energy, *EMF in the Workplace* (Washington, DC: National Institute for Occupational Health and Safety, 1996), 5.
 - 3. Minna Levine, "Business Statistics," *MacUser*, April 1990, 128.
 - 4. Levine, 120.

Appendix B: Formal Elements of Document Design

- 1. Audrey T. Hingley, "Preventing Childhood Poisoning," *FDA Consumer*, March 1996, 7.
- 2. U.S. Department of Labor, *Job Search Guide: Strategies for Professionals* (Washington, DC: GPO, 1993), 2.
- 3. Lenore S. Ridgway, Roger A. Grice, and Emilie Gould, "I'm OK; You're Only a User: A Transactional Analysis of Computer–Human Dialogs," *Technical Communication* 39 (1992): 39.
- 4. Laurel L. Northouse, "Breast Cancer in Younger Women: Effects on Interpersonal and Family Relationships," *Monographs: Journal of the National Cancer Institute* 16 (1994): 183.
- 5. David R. Russell, "The Ethics of Teaching Ethics in Professional Communication: The Case of Engineering Publicity at MIT in the 1920s," *Journal of Business and Technical Communication* 7 (1993): 84–85. Reprinted by permission.
- 6. This excerpt and the one preceding it are from Warrick R. Nelson, "Trees Grow Better with Water," *Tree Planter's Notes*, 46 (1995): 46–47.
 - 7. Russell, 107.

- 8. J. J. Bull, R. C. Vogt, and C. J. McCoy, "Sex Determining Temperatures in Turtles: A Geographic Comparison," *Evolution* 36 (1982): 331.
- 9. *The Chicago Manual of Style*, 14th ed. (Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1993), 487–635.
 - 10. Ibid, 637–699.
- 11. Publication Manual of the American Psychological Association, 4th ed. (Washington, DC: APA, 1994).
- 12. Janice R. Walker, *The Columbia Guide to Online Style*, 1996. Jwalker@chuma.cas.usf.edu (20 January 1999) and *ISO 690-2*, 1998. nlc-bnc.ca/iso/tc46sc9/ standard/690-2ex.htm (22 January 1999).

PLANNING AND REVISION CHECKLISTS

The following questions are a summary of the key points in Chapter 2, Composing, and Chapter 3, Writing Collaboratively. They provide a planning and revision checklist you can use when you are composing. Questions that apply to collaborative writing only are preceded by an asterisk.

Situational Analysis

- ★ Has the group appointed a recorder to capture the group's ideas during the planning process?
- What is your topic?
- Why are you writing about this topic? What is your purpose (or purposes)?
- What are your readers' educational levels? What are their knowledge and experience in the subject matter area?
- What will your readers do with the information? What is their purpose?
- Do your readers have any expectations as to style and tone (serious, light, formal)?
- What is your relationship to your readers? How will this relationship affect your approach to them?
- What are your readers' attitudes about what you are going to say?
- Do you have an international audience whose culture may differ from that of the United States?

Discovery

- What discovery approach can you use? Brainstorming? Using arrangement patterns? Other?
- Are there documents similar to the one you are planning that would help you?
- Do you have notes or journal entries available?
- What questions are your readers likely to want answered?
- Do you have all the information you need? If not, where can you find it?
 People? Library? Laboratory research? World Wide Web?

Arrangement

- Are there standard arrangement patterns that would help you—for example, instructions, argument, proposals?
- Will you need to modify any such standard pattern to suit your needs?
- Do you need a formal outline?
- When completed, does your organizational plan fit your topic, material, purpose, and audience?
- What headings and subheadings will you use to reveal your organization and content to your readers?
- Is everything in your plan relevant to your topic, purpose, and audience?
- Have you planned for graphics?
- If you have a formal outline, does it follow outlining conventions? Are entries grammatically parallel? Is each section divided into at least two parts? Have you used correct capitalization? Are entries substantive?
- ★ At the end of the planning process, does the group have an organizational plan sufficiently complete to serve as a basis for evaluation?

Drafting

- ★ How will the group approach the drafting stage? By dividing the work among different writers? By writing together as a group? By assigning the work to one person?
- ★ Has the group agreed on format elements such as spacing, typography, table and graph design, headings, and documentation?
- ★ Has the group set deadlines for the work to be completed?
- ★ Should the group appoint a coordinator for the project?
- Do you have a comfortable place to work?
- Where in your organizational plan can you begin confidently?

Revision

- Have you stated clearly and specifically the purpose of the report?
- Have you put into the report everything required? Do you have sufficient supporting evidence? Have you stated the implications of your information clearly?
- Are all your facts and numbers accurate?
- Have you answered the questions your readers are likely to have?
- Does the report contain anything that you would do well to cut out?
- Does your organization suit the needs of your content and your audience?
- Are your paragraphs clear, well organized, and of reasonable length? Are there suitable transitions from one point to the next?
- Is your prose style clear and readable?
- Is your tone appropriate to your audience?
- Have you satisfied the needs of an international audience?

- Are all your statements ethical? For example, have you avoided making ambiguous statements or statements that deliberately lead the reader to faulty inferences?
- Are your graphs and tables clear and accurate? Are they well placed? Do they present your information honestly?
- Is your document readable, accessible, and visually effective?
- Are there people you should share your draft with—for example, members of the target audience—before going on to a final draft?

Editing

- Have you checked thoroughly for misspellings and other mechanical errors?
- Have you included all the formal elements that your report needs?
- Are design elements such as headings, margins, spacing, typefaces, and documentation consistent throughout the draft?
- Are your headings and titles clear, properly worded, and parallel? Do your headings in the text match those in the table of contents?
- Is your documentation system the one required? Have you documented wherever appropriate? Do the numbers in the text match those in the notes?
- Have you keyed the tables and figures into your text, and have you sufficiently discussed them?
- Are all parts and pages of the manuscript in the correct order?
- Will the format of the typed or printed report be functional, clear, and attractive?
- Does your manuscript satisfy stylebook specifications governing it?
- Have you included required notices, distribution lists, and identifying code numbers?
- Do you have written permission to reproduce extended quotations or other matter under copyright? (Permission is necessary only when your work is to be published or copyrighted.)
- While you were composing the manuscript, did you have any doubts or misgivings that you should now check out?
- Have you edited your manuscript for matters both large and small?
- What remains to be done (such as proofreading the final copy)?

PROOFREADER'S SYMBOLS

^ Insert here the addition or correction indicated in margin. out see copy Something omitted at point indicated in text; see copy.

Take out; delete matter indicated.

Close up; no space.

Take out character indicated in text and close up.

Insert a space here; increase spacing.

Make a paragraph here.

No new paragraph; run in same paragraph.

Transpose letters or words indicated in text.

Transpose these letters.

Transpose these words.

Marginal question to author.

sp Spell out.

stet Let it stand; restore crossed out letters or words.

.... Retain letters or words above these dots.

Insert comma.

Insert period.

Insert colon.

Insert semicolon.

Insert apostrophe.

Insert hyphen.

Insert question mark.

Insert quotation marks.

Insert exclamation mark.

Insert parentheses.

Insert brackets.

Move to right.

Move to left.

Raise.

Lower.

Upside down; reverse.

Character appears broken; check.

ital Change to italic type.

rom Change to roman type.

caps Set in capitals.

sm caps Set in small capitals.

lc Set in lowercase.

(Under a letter or word) Set in italic type.

(Under a letter or word) Set in small capitals.

(Under a letter or word) Set in capitals.

(Under a letter or word) Set in boldface type.

Line up properly.

Straighten line.

Index

Abbreviations, 595, 638, 643, 644

general rules, 574-577

for U.S. and Canadian provinces, 645f

about.com, 169

Abstraction ladder, 94-96, 180-183

Abstracts, 618, 619-622

Acronyms, 577-578

```
Active space, 245
Active verbs, 91-92
Active voice, 30, 92-93, 197, 198, 497, 521, 586
Adjectives, compound number, 592
Adverbial openers, 87-88
Alternatives, 222-223
Ambiguous and imprecise language, 112
American Psychological Association (APA) style, 650, 665-672
America's Job Bank, 359
Analogy, 179-180, 181f, 186, 190-192, 205
Analysis, 210-229
      classical argument, 213-217
      comparision, 213, 222-223
      deduction, 213, 220-221
      induction, 213, 218-220
      pro and con argument, 213, 217-218
      Toulmin logic, 213, 223-226
And, subjects joined by, 605
Animation, 678-679
Apostrophe, 578-579
Appendixes, 188, 237, 265-266, 406, 634-635
Applied Science and Technology Abstracts, 166
Argument
      classical, 213-217
      pro and con, 213, 217-218
      in proposal development, 399-401
Aristotle, 14
Arrangement, 14, 31
      in chronological presentations, 178
      in collaboration, 38
      in discovery, 21
      elements of, 22-23
      of instructions, 506, 524-525
      of oral reports, 545-548
      in revision, 26-27
      Toulmin logic in, 226
ArticleFirst, 166
Articles, 168-169, 171
      askme.com, 169
Attention line, 645-646
Audience, 5-6, 16-20, See also Readers
      attitudes toward material, 18-19
      for chronological presentations, 178
      for correspondence, 333-337, 340
      for empirical research reports, 492
      for feasibility reports, 467
```

illustrations for, 281-282 international, See International readers/audience knowledge and experience of, 17 for oral reports, 542, 551-554 point of view, 17-18 for World Wide Web site, 676 Backing, in Toulmin logic, 224, 226 Bar graphs, 285, 300-302, 303f Blank space, 242-246, 261-262 Block style for letters, 638, 639f, 641f, 647 for paragraphs, 247 Body of letters and memos, 647 of oral report, 546-548 **BOOKMARK** function, 163 **Books** citing, 658-660, 666-667 evaluating information from, 168, 171 notes in, 653-655 Brackets, 579-580 Brainstorming, 20-21, 37-38 britannica.com, 169 Buchwald, Art, 24-25 Business Dateline, 166 Business Periodical Index, 362

Call numbers, 164 Capitalization, 255, 580-581 Career Guide, The, 361 Career Guide to Professional Organizations, 362 Career Magazine, 360 CareerWeb, 360 Carson, Rachel, 87 Cartoons, 560-562 Causality, 220 Caution message, 252, 516-518, 520f CBE Style Manual, 93, 94 Central statement of paragraph, 83 Century-decade-unit system (Navy system), 262, 263f Chalkboards, 568 Chambers, 186 Charts, 566-567 Chicago Manual of Style, 39, 649-650, 657-664, 672, 675 China, 149

```
Christensen, Francis, 87, 88, 94
Chronological order
      presenting information in, 176-178, 186, 194, 203-204, 216
      progress report structure by, 420-421
Circle graphs, 285, 305-306, 306f, 317f, 308f, 316f, 319f
Citation of sources, 111, 171-172, 295. See also Documentation
Claim, in Toulmin logic, 224, 226
Clarity, 6, 59, 556
Classical argument, 213-217
Classification, 180-184, 186, 205
Clauses, 582
      contact, 583
      independent, 583, 588, 600
      main, 583
      subordinate, 587, 588
Clichés, 344, 586
Collaboration, 34-53
      drafting in, 37f, 40
      editing in, 37f, 42-43
      group conferences in 47-50
      on the Internet, 44-47
      planning in, 37-39
      revision in, 37f, 41-42
      in the workplace, 43
Collective nouns, 605
Collectivism, 129-130, 141, 145
Colon, 581-582, 598
Color
      cultural associations with, 149t
      description of, 192
      in document design, 255-256
      in graphs, 301, 304, 305
      for World Wide Web sites, 678
Column graphs, 300-302, 303f, 304f, 305f, 312f, 313f
Comma, 582-586, 598
Communication goals, 56
Comparision, 213, 222-223
Complimentary close, 647
Composing, 12-33. See also Arrangement;
      Discovery; Drafting; Editing;
      Revision; Situational analysis
Compound number adjectives, 592
Compound words, 589
Compromisers, in groups, 50
Computer graphics, 285-287, 563
Computer screens, design of, 241-248
```

```
Conclusions, 212, 443, 469, 548, 630-631
Concrete language, 257
Conditional sentences, 89
Constant dollar, 114
Contact clauses, 583
Content
      planning, 73-75
      revision of, 26-27
Context, 75
Continuation page, 644f, 648
Contractions, 579
Conversational style, 342-345
Cooking, of data, 113
Coordinators, group, 39
Copyright law, 675
Correspondence, 328-353
      audience for, 333-337
      e-mail, 337-340, 345-346
      for international readers, 340-341, 346-347
      of the job hunt, 364-382
      keeping copies of, 347-348
      letters, 337-340
      memos, 337-340
      purpose of, 330-333, 340
      style for, 340-345, 346, 347
Cost proposals, 401, 405-406
Cover, report, 609-612
Criteria, 223
Criterion-based comments, 41
Criticism of work, 42
Culture. See International readers/audience
Danger message, 516, 519, 520f
Dangling modifier, 586
Dash, 586, 598
Data, manipulation of, 112-113
Date line, 644
Deadlines, 39, 40
Dead links, 680
Decimal point, 595
Deduction, 213, 220-221
Definitions, 185-188, 206
      extended, 186-187
      logical, 185-186
      placement of, 187-188
      proper use of, 5
```

```
purpose of, 15-16
      sentence, 185-186
      for technical writers, 2
Department of Labor, U.S., 358-359
Description, 186, 188-203. See also Mechanism description; Process
      description
Descriptive abstracts, 619, 621-622
Dewey decimal system, 164, 165f
Diction, 586-587
Dictionary of Job Titles, 359
Direct style, 340-342, 347, 348f
Discovery, 14, 20-22, 31, 37-38
Discussion, 469, 484, 497-498, 628-629
Distribution lists, 59, 67-68
Division, 180-184, 186, 205
Documentation, 5, 39, 649-675
      author-date. See American Psychological Association style; Chicago
          Manual of Style
      checking, 28
      copyright law on, 675
      Internet, 672-674
      notes. See Notes
Document design, 27, 230-277, 607-682
      checking, 29
      consistency in, 239
      documentation, See Documentation
      to facilitate information access, 256-266, 269
      letter and memo format, 637-648
      outlining, 681-682
      for pages and screens, 241-248
      planning, 237-238
      possible decisions in, 232-236
      report format. See Report format
      revealing to readers, 239
      situational factors in, 237
      type in. See Type
      on World Wide Web, 675-680
Drafting, 14-15, 31, 40-41
      in collaboration, 37f, 40
      dividing the work, 40
      elements of, 23-26
      by one person, 40-41
      Dun & Bradstreet Million Dollar Directory, 361
Economic justification reports, 212
```

Editing, 14, 28-30, 32

```
checking documentation, 28
      checking document design, 29
      checking graphics, 29
      checking mechanics, 28
      in collaboration, 37f, 42-43
Elegant variation, 97
Ellipsis, 587
Ellis, George F. R., 109
E-mail
      collaboration on, 44-45
composing, 337-340
      documentation on, 674
      progress reports on, 415-416f
      résumés on, 376-379
      special considerations for, 345-346
Empirical research reports, 212, 482-501
      discussion, 484, 497-498
      introduction and literature review, 484, 492-495
      materials and methods, 484, 493-494, 495-497
      process description, 199-202
      results, 484, 497
      statement of objectives, 493
Empty words, 96-97
Encouragers, in groups, 50
Encyclopedia Americana Online, 164
Encyclopedia Britanica 164, 169
Encyclopedias, 163-164
Endings, 629-634
End notations, 648
Endnotes, 187, 188, 649, 651-653
Environmental impact statements, 212, 468
Ethics, 106-123
      behaving with, 116-118
      benefits of, 109-111
      characteristics of acts lacking, 108-109
      dealing with deviations from, 118-120
      illustration design and, 308-320
      recognizing deviations from, 111-116
Evaluation reports, 212
Evidence, 214-215
Exclamation point, 519, 587, 598
Executive reports, 199, 201f, 226
Executive summaries, 469, 618, 622, 624f
Exemplification, 178-179, 204, 212
Extemporaneous speeches, 543-544
Extended definitions, 186-187
```

External links, 680

Germany, 149

Glossaries, 187-188, 237

Facts, 212 False implications, 112 Fatal Communication Error, 127 Faulkner, William, 87 Fault tree diagrams, 116-117 FAVORITES function, 163 Feasibility reports, 442, 464-479 example of, 470-478f important features of, 469, 479 preparation of, 466-468 Feasibility studies, 464-466 Federal Career Opportunities, 362 Feeling expressers, in groups, 50 Femininity, of culture, 136, 147 Figures, 282, 286-287f, 675 First-person point of view, 93-94 FirstSearch, 166-167 Flaming, 161 Flip charts, 566-567 Flowcharts, 286, 291f, 309 Follow-up letters, 380-382 Fonts. See Typefaces Footers, 264, 266 Footnotes, 187, 188, 296, 650-653, 673-674 Foreign words, italicizing, 590 Forging, of data, 113 Formal reports, 442, 443-464 example of, 449-463f important features of, 448, 464 Formal situation, 553 Format, 42-43, 232-236, 267-268 example of poor, 235f instruction, 528-531 letter and memos, 637-648 report. See Report format Fractions, 593 Fragmentary sentences, 587-588 France, 149 FTP (file transfer protocol) sites, 44, 45, 673 Gatekeepers, in groups, 50 Generalizations, 178-179, 220

```
example of, 189f
      format for, 617-618, 620f
      for instructions, 512, 528
GO menu items, 162-163
GPO Monthly Catalog, 166
Grammar checkers, 29-30
Graphics, 27, 246. See also Illustrations
      analogy presentations and, 180, 181f
      checking, 29
      chronological presentations and, 177
      computer, 288-292, 563
      for instructions, 509, 523, 524f
      for international readers/audience, 147-148
      for oral reports, 547-548
Graphs, 5
      bar, 285, 288f, 300-304
      circle, 285, 305-306, 309f, 310-311f, 312f, 317f, 320f
      column, 300-304, 305f, 306f, 307f, 308f, 314f, 315f
      line, 285, 286, 293f, 306-307, 308-309, 313f, 314f, 316f
      for oral reports, 557, 559f, 560f
      picto, 113-116, 309, 318-319f
Grolier Multimedia Encyclopedia Online, 164
Grounds, in Toulmin logic, 224, 226
Group conferences, 47-50
Group maintenance roles, 50
Guide for Occupational Exploration, 359
Hall, Edward, 127
Hardboard charts, 566
Harmonizers, in groups, 50
Headers, 264, 266
Headings, 184, 239, 245
      format for, 39
      of letters and memos, 638-644
      numbers with, 262-264
      for page organization, 260-264
      parallel, 259
      for tables, 296
      tips for writing descriptive, 257-260
      on World Wide Web sites, 257, 262, 679
Hemingway, Ernest, 87
Hidden Job Market, The, 361
Highet, Gilbert, 87
Highlighting, 252-255
Hoecklin, Lisa, 127, 129
Hofstede, Geert, 127, 131-132
```

```
Honor in Science, 112
Hoover's Handbook of American Business, 361
Hot Jobs 360
HotJobs.com, 379
How-to instructions, 521-525
HTML (HyperText Markup Language), 676, 677f, 679, 680
Hyphen, 589, 592
Hypotheses, 218, 219, 493
Illustrations, 278-325. See also Figures; Graphics; Graphs; Photographs; Tables
      audience for, 281-282
      ethics in designing, 311-319
      guidelines for creating, 297-311
      list of, 615-617
      purpose of, 280, 282-297
      on World Wide Web sites, 678
Imperative mood, 197-198, 521
Impromptu speeches, 543
Indented style, 247
Independent clauses, 583, 588, 600
Indexes, 166-167
Index to Legal Periodocals & Books, 166
Indicative mood, 198
Indirect style, 340-342, 343f, 346, 347, 349f
Individualism, 128t, 129-130
Induction, 213, 218-220
Informal reports, 442-443, 444-447f
Informal situation, 553-554
Information, 156-173
      analysis of. See Analysis
      asking the right questions, 158
      citation of sources, 171-172
      evaluation of, 168-171
      presentation of. See Presenting information
      sources of, 158-167
Informative abstracts, 619, 620-621
Inside address, 644-645
Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers (IEEE) Code of Ethics, 109
Instructions, 194, 197, 245, 502-539
      arrangement of, 506, 524-525
      equipment and materials needed, 512-513, 514f
      format for, 528-531
      glossary, 512, 528
      headings for, 258
      how-to, 521-525
      introduction, 507-508
```

```
mechanism description in, 513-516
      purpose of, 505
      readers of, 505-506, 531-534
      theory or principles of operation, 508-512
      tips and troubleshooting procedures, 525-528
      warnings, 516-520
Internal links, 680
Internal proposals, 425, 426-429f
International readers/audience, 60, 124-153
      correspondence for, 340-341, 346-347
      examples of documents for, 139-143
International readers/audience (continued)
      graphics for, 147-148
      guide for written communication, 138-139t
      guides to doing business with, 149-151
      oral reports for, 542
      planning process and, 136-138
      situational analysis of, 19-20
      style for, 100-101, 340-341, 346, 347
      understanding, 127-136
      writing business communications to, 143-147
Internet
      collaboration on, 44-47
      documentation on, 672-674
      job hunt on, 359-361
Interviews, 159-160, 170
      job, 381, 382-386
Introductions
      of empirical research reports, 484, 492-495
      of feasibility reports, 469
      format for, 622-628
      of informal reports, 443
      of instructions, 507-508
      of oral reports, 545-546
Inverted constructions, 88
Italicization, 590
Japan, 145-147, 149
JobFind, 361
Job hunt, 354-391
      follow-up letters, 380-382
      information gathering, 359-363
      interviews, 381, 382-386
      letter of application, 364-368
      networking, 362-363
      résumé. See Résumés
```

```
salary discussions, 386
      self-assessment, 356-359
JobTrak, 360-361, 372
Justification of type, 247-248
Kant, Immanuel, 108, 109
Keywords, 258-259
Labels, 609-610, 611f
Language
      ambiguous and imprecise, 112
      concrete, 257
      visual, 190-192
Letter progress reports, 425, 430-431f
Letters
      of application (cover letters), 364-368
      composing, 337-340
      difference between memos and, 638
      follow-up, 380-382
      format of, 637-648
      of transmittal, 608-609, 610f
Library, 163-167
Library catalog, electronic, 164-166
Library of Congress classification system, 164, 166f
Line graphs, 285, 286, 291f, 306-307, 308-309, 309f, 310f, 311f
Line length, 246-247
Links, 673, 678, 680
Lists, 245
      format for, 39
      in instructions, 521
      parentheses in, 594
      style of, 85-86
Listserv messages, 673
Literature review, 484, 492-495
Logic, 27
Logical definitions, 185-186
Logical fallacies, 221-222
Main clauses, 583
Major proposition, 213, 214, 215-216
Management proposals, 401, 405
Manuscript speeches, 544-545
Maps, 286, 289f, 290f
Margins, 242, 245, 247-248
Masculinity, of culture, 128t, 136, 147
Materials and methods (M&M), report section, 484, 493-494, 495-497
```

```
Mechanics, checking, 28
Mechanism description, 15, 187, 188-190, 192-194, 195f, 206-207, 513-516
Memoranda
      composing, 337-340
      difference between letters and, 638
      format of, 637-648
Metasearch engines, 162
Mexico, 131, 139, 141-143
Microjustifying of type, 247
Middle East, 149
Minor propositions, 213, 214-215
Misplaced modifier, 591
Mood, 194-198
MOOs (multiple user domain object-oriented), 45
Movies, 567-568
MUDs (multiple user dimension, dialogue, domain, or dungeon), 45
Multiple-decimal system, 262, 263f, 264
Multiple negatives, 91
National Business Telephone Directory, 361
Networking, 39, 362-363
Newsgroups, 160-161, 168, 170
Newspaper Abstracts, 166
New York Times Index, 166, 362
Nonrestrictive appositives, 584-585
Nonrestrictive modifiers, 584
Nor, compound subject joined by, 604
Notes, 111, 649, 650-657. See also Endnotes
Nouns
      collective, 605
      in headings, 257-258, 259
      predicate, 597
      proper, 580
Noun strings, 90-91
Numbers, 591-593
      as figures, 592-593
      with headings, 262-264
      for illustrations, 292
      page, 264-266
      as sentence openers, 592
      as words, 591-592
Occupational Outlook Handbook, 359
Office of Personnel Management, U.S., 360
O'Hara, John, 87
```

Online Career Center, 360

```
Online documents, 238, 249, 256, 257, 259-260, 266
Online Ethics Center for Engineering and Science, 118, 119-120
Openers
      adverbial, 87-88
      in front of the subject, 88-89
      numbers as, 592
Opinions, 212, 213, 220
Or, compound subject joined by, 604
Oral reports, 540-571
      arrangement of, 545-548
      delivery techniques, 543-545
      preparation for, 542-543
      presentation of, 548-554
      stage fright and, 548
      visual aids in, 547-548, 554-568
Organization
      in classical argument, 215-217
      headings in, 260-264
Outlining, 681-682
Overhead projection, 563-566
Page design, 241-248
      on World Wide Web, 678-679
Page numbers, 264-266
Pakistan, 139, 141
Paragraphs, 82-85, 246, 346
Parallelism, 259, 593-594
Parentheses, 594-595
Parenthetical expressions, 604
Parenthetical references, 662-665, 670-672, 674-675
Particularist cultures, 133-134
Passive of modesty, 94
Passive space, 245
Passive voice, 30, 92-93, 198, 497, 586, 620
Period, 576, 595, 598
Periodical Abstracts, 167
Periodicals, 656, 661, 668
Persona, 16-20
Peterson's Job Opportunities for Engineering and Science Majors, 361
Peterson's Job Opportunities for Health and Science Majors, 361
Photographs, 286, 292-294f, 309
Pictographs, 113-116, 309, 317-318f
Plagarism, 111
Planning
      in collaboration, 37-39
      considering international differences in, 136-138
```

```
for document design, 237-238
      for mechanism description, 193-194
      for process description, 202-203
      for reader communication, 56-75
Plan of development, 626-627
Plural forms, apostrophe for, 579
Pomposity, 96-98
Position, description of, 192
Possessives, apostrophe for, 578-579
Power distance, 128t, 131-133, 145
PowerPoint, 563
Predicate nouns, 597
Preface, 608-609, 611f
Presenting information, 174-209. See also
      Analogy; Chronological order;
      Classification; Definitions;
      Description: Division:
      Exemplification; Topical organization
Princeton Review, 385
Pro and con argument, 213, 217-218
Process description, 187, 188-190, 191f, 194-203, 207
Progress reports, 212, 392-395, 406-439
      defined, 394
      letter, 425, 430-431f
      physical appearance of, 425
      relationship between proposals and, 394-395
      structure by chronological order, 420-421
      structure by main project goals, 421-424
      structure by work performed, 413-420
      style and tone of, 425
Project description, 402-405
Pronouns, 595-597
Proper nouns, 580
Proposals, 212, 392-406, 425-439
      context of development, 398-399
      cost, 401, 405-406
      defined, 394
      effective argument in development, 399-401
      example of, 407-412f
      internal, 425, 426-429f
      management, 401, 405
      physical appearance of, 425
      relationship between progress reports and, 394-395
      standard sections of, 401-406
      style and tone of, 425
      technical, 401, 402-405
```

Protocol analysis, 531, 534 Purpose, 5, 625-626 chronological presentations and, 178 of correspondence, 330-333, 340 of feasibility studies, 465 identifying, 15-16 of illustrations, 280, 282-288 of instructions, 505 reader impact on, 57-58, 71-72, 78 of visual aids, 554-555 in World Wide Web site design, 676 Question mark, 597, 598 Questions, in headings, 257-258 Quotation marks, 597-598 Reader-based comments, 41 Readers, 54-79. See also Audience academic versus nonacademic, 57-59 analyzing, 60-71 determining, 57-60 experts as, 60, 61, 64-65f, 70-71f indifferent, 58, 59, 78 information overload, 58 instructions for, 505-506, 531-534 interest level of, 78 knowledge and experience of, 77-78 nonexperts as, 60, 62-63f, 67f, 68-70f, 76f primary, 59, 60, 68 purpose influenced by, 57-58, 71-72, 78 relationship to writer, 18, 78 revealing document design to, 239 secondary, 59 unknown, 59-60

Reader's Guide to Periodical Literature, 362 Rebuttal, in Toulmin logic, 224-225, 226 Recommendation reports, 212, 440-481. See also Feasibility reports; Formal reports; Informal reports Recommendations, 215, 443, 631-632

Reference line, 646

Reference lists, 665-669. See also Works Cited section

Report format, 607-637 abstracts, 618, 619-622

appendixes, 634-635

cover, 609-612

discussion, 628-629 ending, 629-634 glossary, 617-618, 620f introduction, 622-628 letter of transmittal, 608-609, 610f list of illustrations, 615-617 list of symbols, 617-618, 619f preface, 608-609, 611f summary, 618-619, 629-630 table of contents, 614-615, 616f title page, 612-614 Reports. See Analysis; Empirical research reports: Executive reports: Oral reports; Progress reports; Proposals; Recommendation reports Request for a proposal (RFP), 395, 396, 398-399, 402 Request for qualifications (RFQ), 396, 397f, 398 Research. See Empirical research reports; Information Restrictive appositives, 584-585 Restrictive modifiers, 584 Results, in reports, 484, 497 Résumés, 368-379 chronological, 369-371 electronic, 375-379 e-mail, 376-379 functional, 372, 373f, 377f paper, 375 scannable, 376 targeted, 372-375, 378f World Wide Web, 379, 380f Revision, 14-15, 31-32 in collaboration, 37f, 41-42 criterion-based comments, 41 elements of, 26-28 reader-based comments, 41 Right margins, ragged, 247-248 Run-on sentences, 598-599 Salutation, 647

Salutation, 647
Sans serif type, 250-251
Scientific American, 83
Scientific method, 218
Scope, 403, 465-466, 626
Search engines, 162, 679
Semiblock letter style, 638, 640f, 647
Semicolon, 598, 599-600

```
Sentences, 5
      complexity and density of, 87, 88-91
      conditional, 89
      definitions for, 185-186
      fragmentary, 587-588
      in headings, 257-258
      length of, 86-87
      order of, 87-88
      run-on, 598-599
      structure of, 86-94
Separation of relationships, by culture, 130-131
Series, punctuation for, 585, 600
Serif type, 250-251
Sexist usage, 600-601
Shape, description of, 192
Sharing one's work, 28
Shaw, George Bernard, 601
Sigma Xi, 112
Signature block, 647
Simplified letter style, 638, 642f
Situational analysis, 30
      collaboration and, 37
      defined, 14
      for document design, 237
      elements of, 15-20
      for instructions, 505-506
Size, description of, 192
Slides, 566
Social Science Abstracts, 167
Society for Technical Communication (STC) Ethics Code, 109, 110f
Spacing, 246
Spelling checkers, 29, 601
Spelling errors, 601-603
Standard & Poor's Register of Corporations, Directors, and Executives, 361
Standard usage, 42-43
Statement of work (SOW), 395, 398
Steinbeck, John, 87
Style, 27
      choice of words, 94-96
      conversational, 342-345
      for correspondence, 340-345, 346, 347
      direct, 340-342, 347, 348f
      example of good, 98-100
      indirect, 340-342, 343f, 346, 347, 349f
      for instructions, 521-523
      for international readers/audience, 100-101, 340-341, 346, 347
```

```
list, 85-86
      paragraph, 82-85
      for proposals and progess reports, 425
      sentence, 86-94
      table, 85-86
Style checkers, 29-30, 82
Style sheets, 38-39, 232
Subject, grammatical
      openers in front of, 88-89
      verb agreement with, 595, 604-605
      words between verb and, 89-90
Subject, of report, 623-625
Subject line, 646
Subject-verb-complement (SVC) structure, 86, 87, 88
Subject-verb-object (SVO) structure, 86, 87, 88
Subordinate clauses, 587, 588
Summaries
      executive, 469, 618, 622, 624f
      of feasibility reports, 469
      format for, 618-619, 629-630
      of informal reports, 443
      of proposals, 402
Suspended hyphen, 589
Syllogisms, 220-221, 222
Symbols, list of, 617-618, 619f
Synchronous discussions, 44, 45-47, 674
Table of contents (TOC), 237, 238, 239
      of feasibility reports, 469
      format for, 614-615, 616f
      of instructions, 531
      matching headings to, 259-260
Tables, 5
      designing, 298-299
      exhibits, 283, 287, 295, 296, 297, 299, 300, 301
      for instructions, 523
      for oral reports, 557, 558f, 559f
      purpose of, 282, 285, 286
      style of, 85-86
Technical proposals, 401, 402-405
Technical writers
      attributes of good, 6
      day in the life of, 7-10
      position in organization, 72-73, 78
Technical writing
      nature of, 4-6
```

qualities of good, 7 substance of, 2-4 Texture, description of, 192 Theoretical or historical background, 627-628 Title page, 265, 469, 612-614 Titles, 292, 581, 590, 598 Tone, 73-75, 425 Topic, 15-16 Topical organization, 178, 186, 204 Toulmin logic, 213, 223-226 Traditional outline system, 262, 263f Transitions, 84-85 Trimming, of data, 113 Trompenaars, Fons, 127, 133 Troubleshooting and tips, 525-528 Type, 248-256 highlighting with, 252-255 in résumés, 375 sans serif, 250-251 serif, 250-251 size, 249-250 Typefaces, 248, 250-251 Uncertainty avoiding, 128t, 141 Universalist cultures, 133-134 Utilitarians, 108 Verbal clauses, 88 Verbal phrases, 257-258, 587-588 Verbs, 86, 87, 88 active, 91-92 proper form, 603-604 subject agreement with, 595, 604-605 tenses of, 194-198, 494-495 words between subject and, 89-90 Videos, 567-568 Visual aids, 547-548, 554-568 Visual language, 190-192 Visuals, misleading, 113-116 Vocabulary, 5, 97-98 Voice. See also Active voice; Passive voice in materials and methods sections, 497 in oral reports, 550-551 in process description, 194-198

Warning message, 516, 518-519, 520f

Warnings, 252, 516-520 Warrant, in Toulmin logic, 224, 226 Wilson Business Abstracts, 167 Women, 136 Word processing programs document design with, 239, 242 editing with, 29-30 revision with, 41-42 Works Cited section, 658-662. See also Reference lists World Wide Web sites, 168, 170-171, 232 designing, 675-681 documentation on, 673 evaluating information from, 169 headings on, 257, 262, 679 locating information on, 161-163 résumés on, 379, 380f