



**Figure 15.11** Molecular structures of ligands for Ru complex photosensitizers

performances as photosensitizers in the DSSC have been reported by many researchers [101–122]. Several ligands for Ru complex photosensitizers are shown in Figure 15.11 and the structures of new metal complex photosensitizers and their absorption properties are shown in Figure 15.12. The y-axis is represented by molar absorption coefficient,  $\epsilon$  (i.e. absorption coefficient per M, unit  $M^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$ ).

For example, a Ru phenanthroline complex, *cis*-bis(4,7-dicarboxy-1,10-phenanthroline)dithiocyanato ruthenium(II) ( $\text{Ru}(\text{dcphen})_2(\text{NCS})_2$ ), which has absorption properties due to MLCT transition with a maximum near 520 nm, similar to N3 dye, has been synthesized and characterized [111, 115, 117], and an efficiency value of 6.1 to 6.6% under AM1.5 was obtained using a nanocrystalline  $\text{TiO}_2$  solar cell. It has been reported that a Ru bipyridyl complex having an acetylacetonato ligand instead of two thioisocyanato ligands (-NCS) also showed high performance as a photosensitizer [118]. A Ru biquinoline complex, whose absorption due to MLCT transition is red-shifted compared to that of N3 dye, has also been synthesized [114]. Because the LUMO level of this complex is not sufficiently negative to inject electrons effectively, high solar cell performance has not been obtained with a nanocrystalline  $\text{TiO}_2$  electrode, although electron injection from this complex into a nanocrystalline  $\text{SnO}_2$  electrode, whose conduction-band level is more positive than that of  $\text{TiO}_2$ , occurs effectively [114]. Tuning of both the LUMO and the HOMO levels of the complex to inject electrons effectively into the conduction band