

ANALYSIS OF STRATEGIC THREATS

IN THE CURRENT DECADE

(2000-2010)

By Joel M. Skousen

Editor,

[World Affairs Brief](#)

Homepage: <http://www.joelskousen.com>

INTRODUCTION

Strategic threats are carefully planned threats by predator nations or groups that transcend their own normal sphere of influence and threaten the entire world with conquest and/or control. In this analysis I will discuss three current strategic world powers, which constitute a premeditated threat to world liberty, and the complex tactical maneuvers between them as they position themselves for the coming, inevitable conflict. Two of these world powers are nations--**Russia** and **China**--and the third is a transnational conspiracy of power by a combination of individuals in the West attempting to maneuver the world into a **New World Order** (NWO) of global control, euphemistically masquerading as a "global democracy." I will refer to the latter as *Western globalists*. All less powerful nations in the world fall under the influence of one of these three powers, either as direct allies, client states for the purchase of arms, and/or diplomatic allies working in collusion to further strategic goals of global hegemony. There is one further complicating factor, however. The Western globalists are divided into two main factions: a US/British faction firmly in control of the financial means of the NWO, and a European faction of hard-core leftists, secretly aligned with Moscow, which controls the majority of votes in the General Assembly of the UN. I will attempt to describe each of the three power centers, their allies, and what I believe their individual strategy involves.

THREE COMPETING PREDATOR CENTERS OF POWER

RUSSIA

Ever since the rise of the Bolsheviks in 1917, all of Russia's resources have been focused on building a world empire under Communism. Despite taking no pains to conceal its ultimate goal of destruction of capitalism Russia has been curiously assisted by certain Western political and financial powers in its spreading Communism to other nations. Numerous books document damning evidence of US State Department collusion with the CIA and key Western journalists to facilitate Communist takeovers of Eastern Europe, China, North Korea, Cuba, Cambodia, Vietnam, Nicaragua, Iran, Angola, Mozambique and numerous other small nations. But the mother of all deceptions was reserved for the carefully planned "**fall of the Soviet Union**" in 1989 and 1990.

THE PHONY DEMISE OF THE SOVIET UNION

This **last great ruse** by Russia was a carefully planned gesture, not unlike previous attempts by Lenin and

Stalin to put on a more human face in order to secure needed technology transfers and monetary assistance from the West. The latest and most sophisticated version of the masquerade will culminate in Russia's long anticipated attack on the West. Soviet defector Anatoly Golitsyn, in 1984, warned the CIA and the world about this ruse in his book, "**New Lies For Old**," but it was given little publicity. Golitsyn, not yet savvy about the high level treason that had a grip upon the US government and the establishment media, could not figure out why no one was interested in his warning. Not only were Western intelligence agencies and the press not interested in Golitsyn's warning, they were about to join in the propaganda promoting this deception in order to make sure Western observers would believe it.

The Berlin Wall did, in fact, come down in 1989 and the Soviet armies did leave Europe in 1990, but the freedom movement and the overthrow of Communist regimes by "reformers" were not as spontaneous as they were made to appear. Anyone close to the action could see huge holes in the story--holes that a scrutinizing press corps should easily have perceived, but chose not to. Uncharacteristically, the **freedom movement** among university students in *Leipzig* had suddenly began to flourish, uninhibited by the **Stazi**, deep within the East German police state. No Western journalist dared utter the obvious question: why were students who had not dared to demonstrate the week before, suddenly free to do so without reprisal? Orders had obviously been given to the secret police to give the students a free hand. All student organizations had been infiltrated but no arrests of dissidents were made. Assurances were planted among student leaders that demonstrations would be tolerated. At least two heads of Eastern European states (**Erik Honeker** of the DDR and **Nicolai Ceausescu** of Romania) said prior to their deaths that the Russians had ordered them to step down (as if in response to public fervor), and to turn over power to specific groups that had quickly put on the mask of "reformers," but that were still Soviet controlled. Honeker obeyed and was allowed to live, while Ceausescu refused and was killed by his own secret police. Romanians weren't fooled by the sudden change in leadership in Romania; most knew the new "anti-Communist" leaders were still part of the old guard.

A CRITICAL LOOK AT THE ATTEMPTED GORBACHEV "COUP"

A close look at the failed "**coup**" against Russian Premier **Mikhail Gorbachev** will easily demonstrate that this was an obvious fraud set up for Western consumption. Anyone who believes the KGB was so bungling that it couldn't capture Gorbachev at his unprotected dacha, or so tolerant that it would allow any real democratic opposition to maintain uninhibited access to radio and television, hasn't studied modern Russian police state tactics. In fact, Yeltsin was never anything but a puppet figurehead present to give the illusion of an emerging democracy. Furthermore, when the supposed heads of the **KGB**, and **GRU**, as well as the **Defense Minister** and other top leaders "fled for their lives" after the "failed coup attempt," the press should have been asking: who were they fleeing from? These men were supposedly in control of all the organs of power in Russia. Either they really were the heads and were faking their own self-imposed exile, or they were not the real leaders and were toppled to further the ruse. Notably, all of these top "leaders," including Gorbachev, had been only mid-level bureaucrats two years before. How do we explain their sudden rise to power, except that others selected them? And if other hidden leaders selected them, those secret leaders hold the real power today. Everything else that gives the appearance of Russian democracy is just window dressing for Western consumption. That isn't to say that the Russians haven't allowed some legitimate opposition to arise, but it is always kept in a minority status and without access to the real reins of power.

It is my contention that the Communist leaders are still in charge behind the scenes today. In fact they never were out of power. I'm not referring to the stodgy old hard liners that are playing the role of open Communist deputies in the Duma (Russian Parliament). The real Russian leaders, like Boris Berezovsky, bequeathed to themselves all the former state enterprises (under the guise of "privatization") and are now referred to as the Russian Mafia. Even a cursory look at their backgrounds, shows that virtually every one of these "Mafia" chiefs was a top Communist leader in some part of the former Soviet leadership. The Communist bosses have also maintained tight control over the Russian banking system so as to shuffle Western aid money back and forth between their secret slush funds in Europe and the Middle East. As evidence of the power plays behind the scenes, one astute observer noticed that President Boris Yeltsin, at a high level meeting in the Kremlin, stepped

aside and let Boris Berezovsky enter the room first. This would never be done in Russian protocol unless Berezovsky were Yeltsin's superior. Naturally, these real powers behind the Russian "democracy" generally stay behind the scenes and rarely take key government positions--just as in the West where powerful men direct affairs from behind the scenes.

WHO ARE THE REAL LEADERS IN RUSSIA?

To further the deception, current Russian president Vladimir Putin continually rails against Russian Mafia leaders like **Berezovsky** and **Gusinsky**, issuing a steady stream of indictments, as if the Russian government is actively pursuing these international criminals. Of course, it's all a ruse. As I have reported in my **World Affairs Briefs**, Spanish intelligence documented five visits last year that Putin made to Berezovsky's villa in Spain just prior to Yeltsin's downfall and Putin's rise to power. The transcripts of their overheard discussions were apparently centered around the need to dump Yeltsin, install Putin, and begin the process of slowly shedding Russia's feigned image of weakness in order to get the West accustomed to a new, assertive Russia. As if following a script, Putin has suddenly become the new champion of Russian nationalism. He's "tough on crime" and supposedly opposed by liberals and old-line Communists alike. Again, it's all a ruse. Even Gusinsky, head of the *MOST* media group--Putin's supposed arch enemy and media opposition--is playing the role of a persecuted capitalist Jew. In fact, he is a good friend of Berezovsky and has a villa in the same compound in Spain.

A TOUCH OF TRUTH IN EVERY DECEPTION

Am I saying that everything was faked in the so-called fall of Communism? Not at all. The yearning of Eastern Europeans and Russians to be free was real. That part didn't have to be faked. But several Eastern European states are still under Russian silent control. Even the Russian pull-back of weapons was a partial lie. After negotiating the removal of US missiles and nuclear weapons from Europe (**INF treaty**) the Soviets allowed US inspectors to witness the destruction of the longer-range SS-20 missiles, which constituted the bulk of their European force. But in 1986 the Russians secretly moved in more modern, shorter-range **SS-23s** to deep underground bunkers in East Germany, Czechoslovakia and Bulgaria just prior to signing the treaty, and never declared them or destroyed them. They didn't remove them even after the so-called "fall of the Soviet Union," demonstrating Russia's follow-on role in this fraud, even during Yeltsin's supposed democratic regime. The missiles in Slovakia were discovered and removed during the mid-90s, but neither the US government nor the press has asked about the contents of other secret bunkers--which still exist today.

A portion of the image of **economic and military weakness** in Russia is real, however. The civilian economy is truly in shambles--thanks to Western sabotage of the "free-market" reforms and continued hidden economic control by the Communist-Mafia hierarchy. What else would you expect when the US government pays millions of dollars to a leftist university like Harvard to direct the reforms? Essential elements such as private property rights and transportation were never freed from Soviet-style control. Regulations and bureaucratic red tape still abound, as does official corruption requiring huge pay-offs and bribes. When Russia complained about its dire straits and perennial threat of famine, President Clinton and European leaders shipped them millions of dollars in monetary and food aid. In fact, there was no famine. Russian farmers had plenty of crops to sell, but they were displaced in the markets by the US food aid, which was sold to the people by Russian leaders for a tidy profit.

FEIGNING WEAKNESS TO HIDE STRENGTH

As for **military weakness**, only the manpower side of Russian military was allowed to collapse. The Russians purposely failed to pay troops or to maintain normal living standards within the ranks, leading to bad feelings

and discontent. However, Russian **production and development of high tech conventional military equipment** has been ongoing. Huge stockpiles of tanks and mobile artillery were simply taken out of current inventory and stockpiled. They remain dispersed in depots beyond the Ural Mountains as part of the **Conventional Forces Treaty** signed with the US and NATO. This neat little treaty allowed the Russians to match US reduction in forces without actually destroying equipment--the Russians only had to put their tanks "out of reach." In fact, the Russians brought back some of that inventory during the Chechen conflict, and the US let them get away with it without so much as an official protest. Additionally, although many of the rank-and-file soldiers have left the military, the Russians did not decommission their huge corps of officers and NCOs. Thus, Russia maintains a suspiciously top-heavy manpower structure allowing it to refill the ranks of soldiers in a matter of months should war break out.

REAL DISARMAMENT?

What about Russia's highly touted **disarmament of nuclear forces**? This, too, is a grand deception, aided and abetted by US arms controllers. The older, out-dated aspects of the Russian military complex are on display to give the appearance of disarmament. Much of that has been dismantled at US taxpayer expense. US public television and the Clinton Pentagon joined forces to promote the image of Russian nuclear weakness with a highly doctored presentation entitled *Missiliers*, about the crumbling Soviet arsenal. A naive US General Habiger of US Strategic Command lent his credentials to the widely publicized **TV documentary**, which supposedly showed an inside view of the old and decrepit Soviet-era nuclear bunkers. In fact, they were too old and too decrepit to be credible. US missiliers who saw the documentary refused to believe those facilities were operational. With the exception of one limited view of the new SS-27 missile launcher, the US has never been allowed to see Russia's modern arsenal of weapons. Many of the older SS-18 ICBMs were dismantled in the 1990s with US taxpayer funds. The warheads, however, were not dismantled, but were given back to the Russians for recycling into their new missiles. The Russians are clearly implementing Sun Tzu's classic war doctrine of "feigning weakness" prior to a strike.

ONGOING WEAPONS DEPLOYMENT

The top secret Russian military-industrial complex is in full production, but it is now quite separated from the normal, visible economy. Many suspect that Western aid and loans are almost exclusively funneled into these hidden portions of the Russian economy. This sustains the need for continual funding from the West to support the deprived civilian economy in Russia. However, despite feigning weakness, the Russians are continuing to build tremendous new nuclear/biological and chemical weapons systems--all with the assistance of US technology transfers.

In the late 1980s, the Soviet Union was seen deploying **biological warheads** for their multiple-warhead SS-18 ICBMs. As late as the early 1990s, after the signing of agreements pledging to destroy all biological and chemical warfare stocks, bona fide defectors from Russia gave testimony of massive cheating on biological and chemical weapons programs. Again, no protest was forthcoming from the US and no sanctions were imposed.

Russia is now deploying, on average, three new **SS-27** missiles (also called the Topol-M--a 6th generation ballistic missile with active maneuvering capability to evade interception) per month--replacing older SS-19 missiles located at the Sarakov missile base some 450 miles southeast of Moscow. The SS-27 can carry up to 10 small nuclear warheads, or can be armed with a single massive H-bomb developed by the Arzamas-16 site of the Russian Ministry of Atomic Energy (MINATOM). According to Russian weapons engineers, the new Arzamas warhead has an explosive force equal to over half a million tons of TNT. The *Washington Times* has reported that, in 1995 and 1996, this weapons developer illegally obtained US-made IBM supercomputers exported with Clinton administration approval. The supercomputers were exported directly to the Russian weapons lab, using false commercial and non-military contracts. This was in direct violation of US law. IBM pled guilty to the illegal export and paid a \$8.5 million fine for their illegal sale, but the damage was already done. Later evidence proved that the Clinton administration actually facilitated the sale and gave IBM assurances of

protection. The Russians intend to build a total of 500 of these mobile missiles, each one capable of mounting the full range of nuclear, biological or chemical warheads. This is truly an ominous weapons system, and should be our main concern in terms of designing an Anti-Ballistic Missile Defense. We built our last modern ICBM (the MX "Peacekeeper" missile) over 10 years ago, and will begin disarming them unilaterally in 2003.

The **Russian ABM system** is composed of hundreds of SA-5 and SA-10 anti-aircraft/anti-missile missiles.

Moscow not only has its nominal 100 ABM missiles, as permitted by the treaty, but also several thousand other SAM interceptors, many of which have been upgraded with ABM capabilities. In total, Russia has 12,000 SAM/ABM interceptors at 280 sites. The SA-10 is a totally new missile now from what it used to be and continues to be fitted with nuclear warheads (unlike our dumbed-down proposed ABM system that has no warhead at all). Russia has 18 huge battle-management radar installations located around the periphery of the country, as well as in space, to direct their ABM system. Upgrades of these radar sites as new construction of several more were carried out during the ABM treaty negotiations. US and NATO spy satellites detected these violations, but only one radar unit was halted. It was finished two years ago and the US failed to protest this violation of the ABM treaty. Yet Russia still demands that we abide by the treaty.

Further, the Russians are building huge **underground nuclear bunkers and weapons production facilities** in the Ural Mountains, clearly intended to function during a nuclear war. "**Yamantau Mountain** is the largest nuclear-secure project in the world," said US Congressman Roscoe Bartlett (R-Md). "They have very large train tracks running in and out of it [actually 5 tracks wide], with enormous rooms carved inside the mountain. It has been built to resist a half dozen direct nuclear hits, one after the other in a direct hole. It's very disquieting that the Russians are doing this when they [supposedly] don't have \$200 million to build the service module on the international space station and can't pay housing for their own military people." Ken Timmerman, one of the best sources of information on the subject says, "The Russians have constructed two entire cities over the site, known as Beloretsk 15 & 16, which are closed to the public, each with 30,000 workers. No foreigner has ever set foot near the site. A US military attaché stationed in Moscow was turned back when he attempted to visit the region a few years ago..."

In public testimony before a House Armed Services Subcommittee last October, KGB defector Col. Oleg Gordievsky said the KGB had maintained a separate, top-secret organization, known as **Directorate 15**, to build and maintain a network of underground command bunkers for the Soviet leadership -- including the vast site beneath Yamantau Mountain. When pictures of this complex were published on the front page of the New York Times in 1996, the CIA was asked to respond. Keeping pace with the long standing secret government policy to protect Americans from any information that would point to a Russian threat, the CIA spokesman said the agency wasn't worried--the huge Russian facility was purely "defensive." How do they know that when they admit that no US official has ever visited the site?

In 1998, US Strategic Commander (STRATCOM) **General Eugene Habiger**, the same naive commander who took part in the NPR propaganda documentary *Missiliers*, called Yamantau "a very large complex -- we estimate that it has millions of square feet available for underground facilities. We don't have a clue as to what they're doing there." No clue, general? Not even one clue? People this stupid obviously get to be generals because they are predictable yes-men in a military determined to purge out any future George Pattons or Douglas MacArthurs. I noticed in *Missiliers* that Habiger never mentioned the Russian military's refusal to answer questions about Yamantau Mountain as he waxed eloquent about the deep camaraderie and trust he felt with his Russian military counterparts. If this is the best general we can find to head STRATCOM, the US is in mortal danger.

The Yamantau Mountain complex is not far from Russia's main nuclear weapons lab facility, **Chelyabinsk-70**. Honest military analysts suspect that Yamantau's huge 400-square-mile underground complex houses nuclear warhead and missile storage sites, launch control, and several full-blown nuclear weapons factories--all

designed to continue production after a nuclear war begins. The US has no equivalent to such extensive protected production facilities. According to Ken Timmerman, the Russian government has provided no fewer than 12 separate and contradictory explanations for the site, none of which are believed to be credible.

Russia also has a massive national **command and control system** dispersed among three different hardened underground locations. Besides Yamantau Mountain, there is the **Yavinsky** Mountain underground complex and the **Sherapovo** bunker site, south of Moscow. Sherapovo is the primary command center for Russia's "civilian" leaders. The Kremlin is connected to Sherapovo and other bunkers by a secret subway line. Once at Sherapovo, they can conduct the war effort using a highly redundant communications system "allowing the leadership to send orders and receive reports through the wartime management structure," according to a 1988 Pentagon report.

RUSSIA'S STRATEGIC PLAN OF ATTACK

It is my concerted opinion that the Russians do not want to begin their massive attack on the West with a conventional flow of armaments and troop build-up in Europe. These types of precursor movements would be easily detected by US and European reconnaissance satellites. Neither do the Russians want to destroy Europe if they don't have to. I believe that Russia is planning a **massive preemptive nuclear strike on US and British military facilities** sometime in the middle of this current decade--precisely because such a strike would decapitate Western military power within two days, without any losses to Russia, and instill fear in the rest of the world. By concentrating the initial attack on the US and Britain, the Russians believe they can turn to **European leaders and intimidate them into submission** without a fight. The Russians are optimistic they can count on Europe's leaders since almost every European head of state is now a member of the Socialist International, a front for international Communism created during WWII by Moscow as a means of controlling Europe. Russian GRU defector Col. Stanislav Lunev's revelations about Russian military strategy and planning, including his claim that every Russian military exercise is based on the premise of a pre-emptive nuclear attack on the US military, tend to corroborate my suspicions.

WHAT ABOUT TIMING?

I do not believe the intended strike is imminent just yet. It is my estimate that the Russians won't be ready to strike until sometime after 2004 and probably no later than 2008, despite ongoing preparations for war. Here's why: Despite the continual stockpiling of core supplies and other evidence of war preparations referenced in the excellent and ongoing work of **J R Nyquist**, the Russians lack several elements that would ensure success, and they won't strike until everything is in place.

First, they desire to make sure that the US completes its unilateral disarmament of the feared **MX** intercontinental ballistic missiles (ICBM). These 50 blockbuster ICBMs located in hardened silos surrounding the Four Corners area of Wyoming, Colorado, Nebraska and South Dakota are scheduled for destruction in 2003. No comparable disarmament of the Russian Topol-M missiles is being required. I don't think the Russians will strike before these missiles are eliminated, despite the standing orders to the military by former President Clinton (PDD-60) to absorb a first strike and not launch on warning. Clinton told the military to be prepared to retaliate after a first strike. Dumbfounded (but compliant as always) the top military brass want to know, "retaliate with WHAT?" Good point! However, the Russians most likely are not counting on PDD-60. They suspect we won't abide by this suicidal order now that Clinton is out of office. Overall, the Russians are inherently suspicious of US disarmament. They think the US must be cheating just like they are. Criminal minds think alike.

Second, the US is currently engaged in a number of important transfers of military technology to Russia involving missiles, aircraft, communications, supercomputers and space technology. Boeing has made strategic partnership agreements with **Zvezda-Strella**, Russia's premier weapons lab, for building the top secret US

Joint Strike Fighter. NASA transferred sensitive space technology and money to Russia when Russia claimed they couldn't afford to complete their portion of the International Space Station. The US Navy sent the Russians technical upgrades to their anti-ship missile systems which are now being deployed in Russia and China and targeting US warships. Every major US military contractor is similarly engaged with technology transfers to Russia. I think Russia wants to make sure these high tech modifications reach initial deployment within their arsenals before they strike. While technology development is always ongoing, and the Russians can't wait forever, they must at least ensure numerical superiority and technical comparability to the US. The Russians have long ago accomplished the former and we are busy helping them achieve the latter. In contrast, the upcoming deep recession scheduled to descend upon the Bush presidency will all but halt the modernization of US weaponry (except black budget weapons, which likely are not being developed to defend America).

Third, Russia will not strike until her people are sufficiently antagonistic to the West to form a wall of public opinion supportive of a nuclear first strike, initiating WWIII. US and NATO globalist leaders are helping Russia achieve this by establishing NATO as a force for aggression and intervention rather than defense. That was the real underlying reason why our globalist leaders fomented the war in **Kosovo**. The humanitarian excuse was cover for other strategic intentions. It is also why the Clinton administration paid Harvard's leftist academics to sabotage "free-market" reforms in Russia. If the US government had been serious about facilitating Russian reforms, they would have hired the libertarian **CATO Institute** instead. Ten years ago, the Russian people admired America and longed to be like the West. There is now a deep sense of resentment among Russians for repeated humiliation in Europe, coupled with widespread bitterness and cynicism about economic freedom. The reforms are going nowhere and many Russians long for the return of the meager but stable flow of supplies they got under the stifling, but predictable Soviet system. Russians are tired of seeing fellow Slavs and other allies (such as Iraq) pushed around by NATO in the Middle East, Kosovo, Serbia and Bosnia. America is hated by many and commonly distrusted--for good reason. They long to see Russia return to her pre-Cold War glory as a world power. Putin, instead of being viewed as the ruthless second level Communist hatchet man that he is, is ascending to the status of national hero.

Fourth, Russia needs to further secure its back door with China. Russia would not dare attack the West without assurances of Chinese assistance on the Eastern front. A new "non-aggression" pact (reminiscent of the Hitler-Stalin non-aggression pact that helped facilitate WWII) is in force between China and Russia. However, China is not sufficiently strong militarily to handle its side of the bargain. Thus, Russia is busy helping the Chinese to build up sufficient military forces, especially naval and missile forces, to conquer and control all of the Pacific Rim during the opening months of WWIII. But there is a **downside to this strategy** which Russia cannot dismiss lightly. Russia knows that China is a predator nation like itself, and will ultimately challenge Russian hegemony when strong enough to do so. Thus, Russia must strike the West when China is minimally armed but before China gets so strong as to present a direct threat to Russia. For this reason, the current military technological transfers from the US to China worry the Russians--as they should.

Fifth, The Russians and the Chinese are rabid about the potential threat a US **anti-ballistic missile** (ABM) system presents. This is not simply a cover, in my opinion. It is the foremost topic of heated discussions in every political forum the Russians or Chinese engage in, whether in public or in secret, with the US or with its allies. Clearly a viable ABM system threatens Russia's potential to pull off a successful nuclear first strike. Russia suspects that the US ABM system will consist of not a mere 100 interceptors, as claimed, but thousands instead. This ABM issue is a major key to understanding the Russian perception of timing. The US ABM system is still in the development stage, and is not scheduled for deployment till 2005 at the earliest and, more probably, 2007. If the Russian strike were imminent, they wouldn't be so worried about a future ABM system. Since they are concerned in the extreme, I can only presume that deployment of such a system in the latter half of this decade directly impinges upon their time of attack. I believe they intend to strike before the ABM system is deployed. The strike could be accelerated if the Bush administration actively pursues its option of converting the ship-based Aegis missile system. Ship-based ABMs could be deployed around the world and target Russian or Chinese missiles in their upward trajectory where they can be destroyed prior to disgorging their load of missile evading warheads and decoys. Precisely because such a system would be so effective,

faster to reach deployment, and cheaper, I'm convinced it will meet with maximum world hysteria and resistance--especially from Moscow's lackeys in the "peace" movements of Europe.

US COVERING FOR THE RUSSIANS

The US intelligence community (under both Republican and Democratic administrations) has known all these facts for years and yet continues to actively cover for the Russians. No information about the coming Russian threat is allowed to reach the American people. Furthermore, the US has taken every opportunity to encourage Russia in its hostile intentions. For example, to reassure the Russians concerning the fearsome ABM issue, the Clinton administration had agreed, in exchange for scrapping the ABM treaty, to impose technical limitations on the speed of anti-missile interceptors (the "Demarcation Agreement") so that no US interceptor would be effective against a Soviet ICBM. But this is more smoke and mirrors. In fact, the US has already been operating on an even wider range of technical limits ever since the 1970s. Worse, the new proposed replacement treaty would include the same provisions under the guise of new strategic arms limitations. I will attempt to explain this apparently suicidal behavior on the part of the US in the section on Western globalist strategy.

CHINA

China and Russia both share numerous inherent characteristics that lend themselves to becoming predator nations:

A large population base of poorly educated people deprived of much open contact with the West, which can be manipulated through propaganda and can form the basis of huge armies.

A long history of authoritarian leadership rather than government based upon principles of fundamental rights.

A discouraged and intimidated people used to being controlled and ordered about.

A certain brand of ruthlessness and insensitivity to conscience that tends to breed leaders and security forces capable of unspeakable horrors.

This is not to say that all Russians or Chinese share these general characteristics--quite the contrary. However, these characteristics predominate within these two societies more so than in societies that rarely become predators.

China clearly has its sights set on world hegemony. The attitude of oriental superiority over occidental bourgeoisie has long pervaded China and even predates Russian predatory tendencies. Realistic analysts who have long experience with both Russia and China know that neither will long be subservient to the other. Russia and China may attempt to use each other for short-term gain, but will eventually tangle for ultimate supremacy.

For the present, Russia and China are teaming up against the West in a new unilateral quest for arms. This is no surprise. The Russians have been arming China, off and on, since the Chinese Communists came to power under Chairman Mao. But now, the Chinese are playing both sides of the fence, East and West. Knowing that Russia is willing to supply it with plentiful quantities of second-rate equipment, China is looking toward the

West for advanced American technology and funding in order to upgrade its Soviet and home-grown equipment. At present the US globalist insiders at the Pentagon cannot get away with arming China directly, but both Republican and Democratic administrations in recent years have been successful at making it easy for Chinese spies to pierce the US curtain of protection against espionage. It began in the George Bush Sr. administration when the Chinese were given open access to key US defense plants like Hughes Aircraft, and reached tidal wave proportions during the Clinton era. I am certain that US technology transfers will continue, albeit more cautiously, during the new George W. Bush administration.

THE BURGEONING CHINESE MILITARY

No nation on earth is building military power faster than China, but it has further to go, so it is not yet a threat. However, it is an inevitable and formidable threat all the more so in light of the seeming futility of the task facing China at present. In the end, the complacency of other nations regarding China will change into outright terror as the world one day wakes up and finds itself facing a military monster of incredible size and ferocity.

The Chinese already have a formidable land based army of men, tanks and artillery. What it lacks is a world class navy, air transport and missile force, coupled with a sophisticated communications and control system sufficient to extend Chinese power worldwide. That's no small task to accomplish. Russia is supplying large quantities of equipment and the US is supplying much of the high-tech expertise. Naturally, China is harnessing its billions in trade dollars supplied by naive American champions of free trade to build their forces. The Chinese leaders are openly derisive of American Republicans who are convinced that peaceful trade will moderate Communism. For the Chinese, war with the West is inevitable. It's no longer a matter of if, but when!

I will now go into some detail on China's weapons programs. While most people know about Russia's military power, few understand the growing Chinese threat. This information is for those who still harbor illusions about Chinese impotency and docile intentions.

Since 1991 China has purchased at least \$8.5 billion in **weapons from Moscow**, according to Russian understated statistics. The actual figure is two to three times higher.

There are an estimated **10,000 Russian defense scientists** and engineers working for China, on such projects as naval shipbuilding, missile production, uranium-processing for nuclear weapons, aircraft design and production, and nuclear missile submarines.

China just announced trials of the first of six type 094 Russian-designed and Chinese-built **ballistic missile submarines**. The entire US will soon be at risk from Chinese medium range submarine launched missiles. The sub's Julang-2 or Dongfeng 31 type missiles, armed with 3 to 6 MIRVed warheads, have a range of more than 5,000 miles.

Russia is shipping the new **SS-27 (Topol-M ICBM) missile system** to China. It will undoubtedly become the Chinese nuclear first-strike weapon of choice. The Chinese designation will be Dong Feng 41 ("east wind") DF-41. The DF-41 will be armed with three Chinese nuclear warheads, most likely designed with the help of stolen US nuclear designs from Los Alamos. Russia claims the SS-27 has Maneuverable Re-entry Vehicle (MARV) capability designed to make it impossible for the current US ABM system to complete an intercept.

Russia is selling the new **Zvezda KH-31 anti-ship missile** to the Chinese military. Remember, this was the missile system financed by the US and upgraded with American technology--at the expense of

American defense jobs--when the Clinton administration insisted on buying this missile instead of the superior US version to serve as a target drone for the US Navy.

China is in the midst of a large scale deployment of hundreds of **CSS-5, CSS-6 and CSS-7** missiles, armed with precision conventional warheads along the China coast opposite Taiwan. The number of missiles indicates that China intends to neutralize Taiwan's entire military structure in a pre-emptive strike--thus negating Taiwan's current technological advantage. The dumbed-down Patriot missiles provided by the US to Taiwan are no match for this quantity and speed of missiles.

Like Russia, China is developing anti-satellite and **Electromagnetic Pulse (EMP)** weapons to disrupt, degrade or defeat the US command, control and surveillance systems.

The Russians have sold China several **Sovremenny-class missile equipped destroyers**. The supersonic cruise missiles carried by these S-class destroyers surpass the current defense systems of the US Navy, according to Jamestown Foundation's Richard Fisher.

Russia has recently made available the S-300PMU (**SA-10C Grumble**) anti-aircraft missile to the Chinese Army, who have deployed these weapons opposite Taiwan. The S-300PMU is a highly effective and fast SAM (surface-to-air missile) that is difficult to jam or evade for Western aircraft. Taiwan will be forced to sacrifice many hundreds of aircraft in order to eliminate this threat. The SA-10C also has anti-missile capabilities, allowing the Chinese to field an effective missile shield against US retaliatory strikes.

Chinese fighter aircraft are already being upgraded with the Russian built Vypel R-77 air-to-air combat missile (NATO code named **AA-12 Adder**). The R-77 is designed to destroy other aircraft beyond visual range and is comparable to the most advanced US missiles--the AIM-120 AMRAAM.

Russia has also deployed the SS-N-22 **Sunburn cruise missile** to China. The Sunburn flies in excess of 1,500 miles per hour and can be armed with a nuclear warhead. The US navy has no effective defense against a missile of this speed and maneuverability. This is the same missile the Clinton administration declined to buy from the Russians. Such a purchase would have allowed our military experts to develop a proper counter attack.

CHINA'S STRATEGIC PLAN OF ATTACK

The world is focusing on China's ongoing and aggressive preparations to attack Taiwan, but I do not believe this will happen until the start of WWII or just prior to it. The greater portion of China's strategic plan for military development is heavily, if not totally, dependent upon an increasing flow of money and technology transfers from the West, and the US in particular. An aggressive taking of Taiwan by force would make it almost impossible for any US administration to justify business as usual. Globalist politicians would try, I'm sure, but it would be a tough sell.

The Chinese have a knack for patience and are preparing for the long-term battle rather than settling for a short-term advantage. It is my estimation that China will take Taiwan only after China's preparations for the much larger war is complete. China might even attack Taiwan prior to Russia's pre-emptive attack on the US, in order to use up US naval resources, place them on the map in a predictable location and divert the world's attention away from any last-minute Russian preparations. Ultimately, China intends to use Russia's instigation

of WWII to its full advantage. China will enter the war on Russia's side and quickly conquer the far eastern Pacific Rim of nations: Japan (payback time for the Japanese invasion of China prior to WWII), South Korea (through its surrogate North Korea), the Philippines, Micronesia, Indonesia, Burma--a nation that is not yet committed to either Russia or China. I'm not convinced China would take New Zealand or Australia, as such action would limit its credibility when it switches allegiance from East to West during the war (as Russia did in WWII). Clearly, if Russia's attempt to conquer the West gets bogged down in a long protracted conventional conflict, China will be tempted to attack Russia and finish it off. Historical precedent shows that the West can be depended upon to come to the aid of even their natural enemies and be suckered into a promise of mutual assistance.

US ENVELOPMENT BY JOINT RUSSIA/CHINA STRATEGIC ALLIANCES

For the initial Russia/China alliance to be successful, the following plan of encirclement of the Western powers will be necessary. For the past 50 years Russia has been building a circle of strategic alliances with the intent to isolate the United States from sources of strategic materials during the coming war. Russia is now allowing China to join in facilitating certain sectors of this encirclement. Many nations are partly in Russia's camp and partly in China's. During the next war, we will see further separations and conflicts within these alliances, but for now, most can be considered under the common Russia/China circle of influence. I will mention the exceptions. Some of these nations are also playing as if they are allies of the West--an integral part of the ongoing Russian and Chinese deception.

EASTERN EUROPE: Russia completely released control of some countries in Europe (Hungary, East Germany, and Poland--although Russia still controls the major opposition in Poland), but has retained secret control of and powerful alliances in Romania, Bulgaria, Belorussia, Ukraine, Slovakia, and the Baltic states. Russia still has significant arms in several of these "former" Soviet states and is beginning to move more arms into position surrounding NATO, taking advantage of existing secret underground facilities. North of Poland, for example, Russia has moved in new stocks of nuclear weapons to Kaliningrad. Suspicious of Russian intentions, Poland is demanding inspection rights. Russia has refused and predictably, the US is backing Russia rather than Poland, downplaying and excusing the Russia's moves. The Balkans are split between Russian influence in Serbia, and Chinese influence in Albania, although NATO is allowed to think it is currently in the driver's seat elsewhere in the Balkans. Much of what Russia has structured in Eastern Europe is a Trojan horse helping to gain access to NATO, compromise its secrets, and then change allegiances during the first weeks of the coming conflict. Russia intends to use the initial turmoil after the nuclear strikes on the US and Britain to quickly reposition its stocks of conventional forces from east of the Urals to the western borders of Byelorussia, Ukraine, and Bulgaria.

AFRICA: The African continent is home to the second largest source of strategic minerals. Russia is the other source, and it now controls both pools of minerals via its numerous client states in Africa: Angola, Mozambique, Nigeria, the Congo, Zimbabwe, Ethiopia, Libya, and many others. Russia indirectly controls almost all African governments by having established a dependency on Soviet-era military hardware--even those that currently play as if they are in the UN's sloppy orbit. None of the African states are capable of governing themselves with stability anymore and thus are constantly susceptible to control or conquest. The Sudan is the sole African nation so far drifting into the Chinese sphere of influence as China desperately seeks to secure more exclusive contracts for oil--one of China's biggest strategic weaknesses. The kingpin of the Russia's African strategy, however, focuses on southern Africa. South Africa's ruling ANC Party has always been a not-so-secret Communist Trojan horse in that country and will eventually turn over all of South Africa's resources and strategic geographical advantage to Russia. The horn of southern Africa is one of the world's strategic choke points.

FAR EAST ASIA: Although Russia has long had influence in North Korea, Vietnam, Laos, Cambodia, and other small island nations in the Far East, all are consider by default to be in China's sphere of influence. That influence will give way to direct conquest and control during the initial phases of the next war.

CENTRAL ASIA All of the oil-rich southern underbelly of Russia, from the Caspian Sea eastward to Mongolia (Turkmenistan, Kazakhstan, Uzbekistan, Takzhikestan, etc.) is under the control of Russia through puppet governments. However, the area is inherently unstable with porous borders. Anti-Russian sentiment is high, contributing to my suspicion that Russia will not be able to hold on to these areas in the long term. They will revert to semi-autonomous states controlled by various Muslim rivalries until brought under the yoke of the next larger power to come along.

SOUTHERN ASIA Pakistan and India are both densely populated, socialist, economic disasters with nuclear power capabilities, constantly threatening to annihilate each other over the issue of Kashmir. Pakistan is currently aligned with China while India receives its arms from Russia. Ultimately, both are seeking for armament self-sufficiency so as to be free from being pawns of these two international bullies. But, like the Arab countries, the India-Pakistan people are destined to be used as cannon-fodder by other powers as long as they refuse to unleash the economic potential of their masses, which can only happen through economic and religious liberty. The US will lose what limited influence it has in the region when war comes. The entire area from Pakistan to Burma will quickly come under Russian control, and then switch to China as Russia's power is depleted over the course of the war.

CARIBBEAN The Caribbean provides access to the soft underbelly of the United States and is another strategic choke point for shipping to the US. Russia still maintains its control of Cuba (90 miles from Florida), which serves as Russia's eyes and ears on America. It maintains at least 1500 personnel at its Cuban listening post at Lourdes. I suspect that Russia may still have some secret caches of weapons in the tunnel systems built for Cuban military purposes, but Russia is beginning to play its China card by allowing the Chinese to be the ones to introduce new weapon capabilities into the Americas. The pro-China lobby in recent US administrations has sufficiently disarmed American fears such that Russia believes China can get away with more intrusion in the Americas than Russia could. This is probably true. China, for its part, intends to use these American surveillance and control points (Panama, Cuba, Venezuela, and the Bahamas) for her own hegemonic purposes once Russia is defeated in the next war. China is currently working with Marxist Venezuela, Cuba, and Panama to provide them with medium and short range missiles. US intelligence is downplaying these overtures. Ultimately, I believe Mexico and Cuba will serve as the major access points of invasion by troops into the southern US.

MIDDLE EAST: Russian client states in the Middle East include Iran, Iraq, Syria, Egypt, and a variety of small Arab nations. Chinese technicians are also aiding several of these nations, but Russia is maintaining tight control over the triggers of war. A portion of the recent CIA's threat assessment regarding the Middle East is accurate: "The proliferation of MRBMs [Medium Range Ballistic Missiles], driven largely, though not exclusively, by North Korean Nodong sales, is altering strategic balances in the Middle East and Asia...the missiles include Iran's Shahab-3, Pakistan's Ghauri and the Indian Agni-2....Making these weapons even more worrisome is the fact that Russia, China and North Korea continue to proliferate chemical, biological and nuclear technology, as well as long-range missile technology, which can dramatically increase the lethality of the missiles." Clearly Israel is the target, but the longer range missiles will be used to deter interference by the US or NATO. These missiles are intended to provide the Russian-backed Arab regimes a crucial advantage over Israel's conventional forces that they have heretofore not enjoyed. The missiles will also be used to eliminate Israel's nuclear weapons production and storage sites (what sites they can find). Iran and Egypt intend to become self-sufficient in the production of Weapons of Mass Destruction (WMD) but historically the controlling powers have shown no desire to let this happen.

WESTERN GLOBALISTS: THE NEW WORLD ORDER

INTRODUCTION

The movement to establish a global system of control began somewhere back in the murky past of the *Illuminati* (1776) and has since morphed, grown and expanded till now there are numerous shadowy variants and groups that make it almost impossible to pin down exactly who is running things and where the real motive and power is coming from. Fortunately, it is not necessary to know all of the secrets underlying this movement. It is sufficient to establish that all of the existing globalist organizations--the **Council on Foreign Relations, Trilateral Commission, Aspen Institute, Bilderburgers, Royal Institute for International Affairs, Committee of 300, Club of Rome**, etc., aspire to global control and eliminate national sovereignty. Numerous quotations of their own leaders and members state just that. That they have more sinister long-term motives and intentions concerning the eventual use of global control is not so clear or easy to prove. However, the track record of global intervention does not portend an optimistic outcome.

Those who watch the way global insiders operate and cover up illegal operations of influence and control almost always come away convinced that there is some form of **conspiracy** involved. This conclusion is really not too difficult to establish if one has access to the details of each case. Look at the specific evidence pointing to government misdeeds and the subsequent cover-ups of economic manipulation, assassinations, terrorist attacks on airlines, illegal weapons trade, government coups, and high level corruption. In each of these cases, there is a common thread: the active involvement of a vast array of high officials, government agencies, the media, law officers, lawyers, judges, and sometimes international corporations and organized crime--coordinated and inter-connected enough to hide the truth, obstruct justice and cover for high level leaders. That's what a conspiracy is: a coordination between normally separable government officials who have no legal right or lawful need to collude in their official capacities. Sadly, it happens all the time. The excuse given, when discovered, that these unfortunate incidents are merely the workings of the proverbial "**rogue agent**" is rarely true. Upon close examination, the trail leads always to higher levels where the cover-up and obstruction gets serious. For further evidence, read the accounts of the many government whistle blowers out of the military, CIA, DEA, or FBI, found in Rodney Stich's *Defrauding America* (order line: 1-800-247-7389).

THE NEW WORLD ORDER (NWO) AS A PREDATOR MOVEMENT

NWO globalists take great care to mask their intentions by appealing to "democracy" and making constant references to "human rights" and the support of international law. But a close look at their justification for intervention in Iraq, the Balkans and Indonesia clearly indicates that tyranny and ethnic cleansing was fostered, then overlooked, or even partially falsified until it festered enough to justify intervention and subsequent control. While most people in the Western world do not view the NWO as a predator movement, the Eastern world certainly is beginning to see it in that light. I will make the case that the changing role of NATO and the UN from a defensive organization to an aggressor in Iraq, Kosovo, Bosnia, East Timor, and Africa was and still is intentional, meant to help stir up hatred and discontent sufficient to justify an eventual Russian and Chinese strike on the West as previously discussed.

WHY?

The big question is always, why would those who strive for global power and financial control want to engender a nuclear war that would destroy the whole world? In the first place, it is not true that the whole world would be destroyed. Millions would die, and over half the people in any country under attack would get very ill, but probably no more than 20% of the populace of any nation subjected to a military facilities first strike would die. Most countries will not be targeted. Russia, who is planning this war, is sensible enough to be prepared to shelter many of its citizens from nuclear effects. Among Western nations, however, only Switzerland has a comprehensive fallout shelter program for its citizens. A few thousand savvy conservatives in America also have provided shelter systems for their families. Nuclear war is quite survivable, outside of the actual blast zones, with adequate preparations in place.

The core of the question about the **prime motive** remains: why destroy the tremendous prosperity that even

these conspirators for global power enjoy? Most people do not sufficiently understand real evil. To them, this scenario is simply unimaginable. But the fact remains, powerful men in government and business have knowingly colluded to finance war and destruction. It has happened before and it will happen again. For example, globalists bankers, corporation heads and government officials colluded prior to WWII to finance Hitler and Stalin, and undermine capitalist nations in order to pave the way for Socialism and war. A close look at the conduct of the war relative to aid and concessions to Russia paint a picture of Western complicity to play the *Hegelian* game, building up an enemy in order to produce a controlled response. The West actively colluded in the betrayal of captive nations and paved the way for Soviet military dominance and conquest around the world.

None of the World Wars in this century were accidents, in my opinion. Conflict was created with the long-term goal to facilitate a consolidation of world power in the hands of the NWO elite. It is true that many of the **Wall Street bankers who financed Hitler** and the Alfried Krupp-owned German war industries saw those investments destroyed, but they were always taken care of after the war and allowed to make even greater millions in the reconstruction process. That was much of the motive behind the **Marshal Plan**. German armorer Alfried Krupp, who was convicted at the Nuremberg trials for using slave labor, was pardoned by **John J. McCloy**, US Military Governor and High Commissioner in Germany. McCloy was also the globalist insider who eventually became head of the CFR. Clearly there was a system of immunity and protection established for insider participants so that war could be used as an instrument of change, without destroying its own leaders and the power behind them. In each case, there was a common motive--to use the horrors of war to accelerate the demand for international institutions of control that would not otherwise be acceptable to free men and women.

To fully understand the globalist mode of operation, we must address one of the great **inconsistencies in US foreign policy**: Why have US leaders (especially from Truman onward) actively undermined other pro-Western governments and secretly armed and supported Communist guerrilla operations in such countries as China, Korea, Cuba, Nicaragua, Laos, and Cambodia? Why do globalists attack some Communist regimes and support others? The main reason is that globalists are dedicated socialists, in terms of commitment to control, but want the benefits of a partially free market to finance that control system. They have a code name for this dualism--**the Third Way**--which is a euphemism for the old **Fabian socialism** (private ownership but government regulation and control). Naturally, globalists like the trappings of wealth that the capitalist component of a controlled economy provides.

Communism has been a useful tool for the globalists to subvert liberty in the world. Communism takes the rap, while the globalists steadily undermine world independence and national sovereignty. The NWO boys are experts in the use of Hegelian tactics of creating enemies that produce a desired response. For example, Communism is often characterized by certain excesses in tyranny that engender a call for global intervention--very convenient. The Communists do the dirty work and the globalists step in to control the final outcome. Often, the setup is not easy to control. When a Communist tyrant becomes unpredictable or messy the US State Department has to go in and undermine their own tyrant and replace him with another. Ultimately, US global leaders have to undermine any strongman, such as Milosovic in Serbia who refuses to become subservient to NWO control. Globalists have a nasty record of not being able to find *moderate* tyrants, especially in Africa and the Middle East.

The globalists' first attempt to use war to create **global government** was WWI and the subsequent **League of Nations**. It ended in failure--unless we take into account the one-sided Versailles Treaty of WWI which became a catalyst for German hatred of the West, helping to foment the Second World War. WWII, in turn, successfully delivered the world into the arms of the **United Nations**, but the global architects were unable to create anything more than an international society of bickering social democrats, global guidance counselors and Communist thugs. Thankfully, it had no enforcement powers. But that did not deter the UN's ultimate mission of undermining national sovereignty. While preaching peace through intervention, the UN has created much mischief and genocide in the world which, in turn, has fomented predictable calls for change--including

the addition of enforcement powers to the globalist organization. But, the world isn't buying into this trap yet, so enforcement continues to be primarily a US responsibility, and additional crises must be created to alter the world's reluctance to submit to global authority.

During this post-WWII era (interspersed with periodic regional conflicts), the globalists have concentrated on developing world **economic interdependencies** to justify adding additional financial and structural elements of control. Their favored vehicle uses the popularity of "free-market" prosperity to rally support for regional **common markets** which, in turn, demand the creation of regional and international control agencies promoting "free trade." In the process, other goals are achieved like the increase of trade and aid to current tyrannies like Russia and China, and the diminution of self-sufficiency in essential goods within each nation, making them more vulnerable to shortages in future wars. People are also getting used to piecemeal sacrifices of sovereignty in exchange for increased prosperity.

This **slow-change**, hide-the-real-effects process is meeting with increased resistance within the EU and the US as the control elements of the World Court, IMF, WTO, NAFTA and GATT become more and more obvious and the rigors of free trade cut into traditional socialist subsidies and high wages, especially in Europe. It is for this reason, primarily, that the NWO globalists will resurrect war again as the final catalyst to catapult the world over that final barrier of resistance. That is where we are headed, I believe.

THE USE OF WAR TO INSTIGATE RADICAL CHANGE

The globalists know that they cannot induce the world to give up national sovereignty outright or grant enforcement powers to the UN as long as the world is relatively at peace and the US is the dominant military force in the world. All governments are chronically short of funds due to the demands of social welfare programs. Why should they increase spending to support a UN army when the US will carry the load for them? Thus, it is the **destruction of America's military, forcing the world to join and support a global military** that provides the prime motivation of US global leaders to induce the Russians to strike their own nation. This alone explains the suicidal and unilateral disarmament the US has engaged in for the past 30 years. It explains the rationale for covering up for Russia's constant cheating on arms control agreements and treaties. It explains why Bill Clinton would direct the US military to absorb a nuclear first strike (PDD-60) and NOT launch on warning. It explains why the US would keep stalling year after year to make sure America is undefended against a nuclear strike and that there are no provisions for civil defense shelters. It isn't that US leaders are stupid. They aren't suicidal. They simply can't get the world to take the final plunge into global control without a war.

HOW DO YOU WIN A WAR AFTER ABSORBING A NUCLEAR FIRST STRIKE?

Clearly the NWO globalists do not intend to lose a war to the Russians, which brings up the next question: how do the globalist plan to win a war with Russia when they allow Russia and China to launch a first strike and destroy most of the US and British military machine at the very onset of hostilities? I do not think Europe will be included in the first strike as Russia wants to preserve the economy of Europe by blackmailing it into submission. Certainly Europe's meager NATO forces would be incapable of taking on the Russians alone.

The answer may lie in the fact that both Republican and Democratic administrations have been actively favoring China's acquisition of US military technology for the past 12 years. I suspect that the globalists have a **secret pact with China** whereby China has agreed to betray Russia during the next war, in return for promises of military technology transfers. China wins in three ways. It gets military aid from Russia before the war, receives aid from the West before and during the war, and eliminates a major world predator (Russia) in the prosecution of the war. The globalists of the 1930s had a similar secret pact with Russia. Russia's temporary "non-aggression" pact with Germany set Hitler loose on the world, and after the western front was secure, Russia, with promises of allied aid, turned on Hitler and contributed to his defeat. By establishing a second

front at Hitler's rear, the pressure on the Allies was sufficiently relieved to allow them to take the offensive.

In the coming war, I suspect China will play the same role and may even absorb the balance of Russia's nuclear weapon strikes. If the West has weapons strong enough to destroy Russia's underground weapons factories in the Ural Mountains, the war will turn conventional and Russia cannot win a **conventional war** with China's millions threatening its rear. I wouldn't be surprised if during the course of the war, China will be allowed to retain her conquests in the Far East, much as Stalin was promised control of Eastern Europe after the war. When the war is over, the world will have **a new cold war and a new enemy--China**. As in WWII, this is precisely what the NWO needs to justify maintaining international enforcement power in the hands of the UN. War will also justify a whole range of control mechanisms over people and business life. We may never see them completely lifted.

A FEW COMMENTS FOR THOSE WHO UNDERSTAND THE THREAT BUT DOUBT CONSPIRACY

There is one major mistake in assumption that almost all people make who object to conspiracy--they assume that everyone or nearly everyone contributing to the conspirator's agenda must know there is a conspiracy and be privy to the whole agenda. This is not true, but conjuring up this assumption allows people to easily dismiss conspiracy with the understanding that too many knowing people would make it impossible to keep the secret. I certainly have never made a case for that all or even a many of the participants know the whole plan or even substantial parts of it. Quite the contrary. All my writings have concentrated on explaining how and why top level conspirators use masses of predictable leftists, yes-men, ambitious lackeys and partially knowing ladder-climbers to do their bidding--specifically so as to limit the number who have "need to know" access. They cement together the whole conglomerate with subtle and not so subtle threats--and occasionally carry them out. Many are bought off with regular payments--like journalists and judges. Most know only parts of the puzzle.

However, almost everyone in high places does know there is "power structure" above them they dare not challenge, they also know it isn't good for their job, advancement or health to "ask too many questions." Read any number of the tales by federal whistleblowers to confirm this general fear. Thus, most participants rationalize it all away as some benevolent control system, or believing that "whoever they are" must control the world in order to have stability. Others, especially in the enforcement ranks, are just too corrupt to care. But the bottom line is: very few know that the Powers That Be (PTB) intend to pull the nuclear trigger via Russia and China. All the little steps leading up to weakening the US and building up Russia and China are covered by liberal notions of "détente," "easing tensions," and "peace." These lesser officials who are tasked to defend these lies tend to believe their own propaganda.

However, the ones at the very top, who do know how to use war to create Hegelian responses, are very very evil--something most of the world doesn't really believe in anymore, and that is why many people can't conceive of or believe in this horrible brand of conspiracy. But keep in mind what they did before in building up Hitler, only to set him loose on Europe during WWII. The war created a justification for the UN and facilitated the rise of a new enemy (Russia) in its aftermath. Remember Pearl Harbor--not because of the infamy of Japan, but the infamy of Roosevelt and his leftist crew who induced Japan to attack and hid the information from our own military in Hawaii. It happened before, so why should it be so hard to believe now? We are reaching the culmination of what George Marshall and his cohorts planned by creating a cold war enemy. Russia was allowed to rise and have hegemony over Europe in order to create the next war. The phony demise of "Communism" is merely the final effort to lull the West into complacency before the strike. We are about to see it descend upon the world.

In all of this, I'm certainly not discounting the military-industrial complex argument, but it doesn't explain why people that are already fabulously wealthy and who control the reigns of power are still pushing the world toward greater and greater global control. None of this will give them any more personal power or wealth. How much can any single person use? The military industrial complex argument doesn't explain the rush to suicide

and disarmament at an alarming rate. Some participants are blind, but surely some must suspect this is very dangerous game and are going along in order to please some other very powerful people above them. But let there be no doubt--the top echelon expects to survive this--why else have they built significant bunkers at US taxpayer expense. Somebody knows something is coming. Also, war is not as futile a tactic as most conspiracy debunkers assume. At least 2/3 of the world will survive this even without preparations, and virtually all the high level people who know that war is coming have made preparations to survive it.

My friend Jeff Nyquist and many others do not believe in conspiracy--at least on a broad scale. They try to explain things in terms of mistakes, blunders and attempts to cover for those blunders. The basic problem I have with Nyquist's reliance on standard psychological and sociological models is that it breaks down with the more specific information I have about the detailed actions of those involved in the government's undermining of our national interests--in exchange for global and leftist interests. I've never met an honest conspiracy debunker yet who has really read all the literature of the defectors from the CIA and other black agencies. Of course, virtually none of the defectors themselves sees the big picture either--but the evidence is clear that the whistleblowers all knew that the higher ups directly conspired to keep them silent. We have to look at the long historical trend of conformity to leftist ideology in these cover ups to perceive the unified purpose behind these actions. Few witnesses immersed in the details of a whistleblower's tragic battles with government have the talent to see the correlation and pattern of action that point to a coordinated plan of attack on liberty and national sovereignty. It's too easy to focus on the specific injustice.

For example, the excuse that the betrayal of US security interests by CIA or State Department officials is a cover-up for past mistakes is a weak conclusion. Certainly, mistakes happen, no matter how much power the PTB have. But covering for blunders alone does not explain why high government officials keep making NEW MISTAKES in the same consistent direction (leftward) and why there are more and more NEW EFFORTS to cover for NEW threats from the Russians and Chinese . Why is it that we never see any official learn from the blunders of the past? Why is it that the betrayals keep accelerating and getting broader? The more diverse the agencies involved and the more people that are brought into the net of betrayal, the less possible it is that they are all acting only to cover for mistakes. There has to be some other explanation that keeps generation after generation of government officials moving toward a single direction.

Cover-ups are what I consider my best evidence for conspiracy--simply because of the interconnectedness between disconnected officials and agencies that happens in a conspiracy. But now Nyquist comes along and, while admitting that cover ups exist, claims that there is no plan behind them--that it is only normal sociological motives of rogue individuals. I don't buy it--mainly because of the many many years this has been going on, and the fact that it has always had a powerful continuum of hostility toward the interests of liberty. If it were people covering for people, the process would clear itself from time to time or even reverse directions. It would be more random. But it isn't random. It shows all too much disturbing evidence of continual forward movement--purposeful movement, in my opinion.

IS THERE ANY HOPE OF COUNTERING THIS EVIL STRATEGY?

This strategy is wholly dependent upon shielding Americans and Europeans from Russian and Chinese intentions. Keeping people ignorant and naive also allows these same globalist leaders to claim that they didn't know of Russian and Chinese intentions. We must not let them get away with this, lest they claim the right to lead America into the war for global control. If you doubt what I say, check your feelings to see if you at least can sense that the Russians and Chinese are lying about their pretensions of peace. If you trust our government, remember Pearl Harbor. The evidence is now fully proven that Roosevelt induced Japan to attack in much the same way our own government now is helping to induce a Russian attack in the future. Only this time the results will be deadly to many more Americans. Every one of you reading this is at risk, so do not take lightly

what I say. If the general reasoning I have presented is compelling, help warn others. Feel free to distribute this analysis. The more people that know of this grand deception, the less success globalist leaders will have in escaping blame.

In any case, the least you can do is prepare to survive the next war. If I'm right on timing we still have a few years to prepare. If J R Nyquist's timing is right, you don't have any time to spare, so do something constructive sooner rather than later. My website has several sources that can help you keep track of the progress of these threats and prepare against them.

Thanks for listening.

Joel Skousen, Editor [World Affairs Brief](#)

<http://www.joelskousen.com>