

An overview of the SPEC CPU2006 benchmark on HP ProLiant servers and server blades



Executive summary.....	2
What SPEC CPU2006 measures	2
The importance of SPEC CPU2006	2
MHz/GHz does not tell the whole performance story	2
SPEC CPU2006 results are ubiquitous	2
The SPEC CPU2006 benchmark functions across different server platforms.	3
The SPEC CPU2006 benchmark does not take many hardware resources to run.	3
The European Commission Directive 93/36/EEC.....	3
The SPEC CPU2006 workload suites and key calculations.....	3
INT and FP: the two benchmark suites in SPEC CPU2006	3
Geometric mean	4
Interpreting the results	5
FP vs. INT.....	5
Base vs. peak.....	5
Speed vs. rate	5
Comparing SPEC CPU2006 results.....	5
HP ProLiant servers, server blades and SPEC CPU2006.....	6
Market leadership.....	6
Proven performance	6
Appendix	6
For more information.....	6

Executive summary

This paper will explain the purpose of SPEC CPU2006, how the benchmark is conducted, and how to interpret the results. In general, SPEC CPU2006 represents rich compute intensive environments in mathematics and the sciences, with a small focus on business application workloads.

What SPEC CPU2006 measures

The SPEC CPU2006 benchmark is intended to stress the computer processor (CPU), the memory architecture, the compilers, and the chipset/front side bus. It is important to note that though most servers do extensive I/O, either through a NIC, a disk controller, or some other device, SPEC CPU2006 does not measure the performance of these pathways.

SPEC CPU2006 uses workloads that represent real-world problems of R&D environments or a highly specialized field such as weather forecasting. Some of the more common applications are ray tracing, data compression, video compression, PERL language interpretation and XML processing. SPEC CPU2006 results are useful in the following situations:



- To compare disparate systems (different processor platforms for example)
- To see the performance impact of a specific hardware component (i.e. performance delta between two different processor speeds in the same server)
- When the target environment has a CPU intensive, likely scientific workload
- When there are no other benchmark results available on the systems that you want to compare

The importance of SPEC CPU2006

There are several reasons why SPEC CPU2006 can be an important benchmark. Many other benchmarks stress I/O, operating system, and other system components other than just the CPU. The benchmarks that stress these other components are much more complicated to run and therefore have a more limited set of published results with which to compare.

MHz/GHz does not tell the whole performance story

For different platforms, processor speed has a different impact (i.e. AMD based systems have lower clock rate than comparable Intel-based processors). Other factors affecting performance include processor cache size, front-side bus/hypertransport speeds, and memory speed technology. SPEC CPU2006 results utilize all of these components to arrive at a set of performance metrics that represent overall system performance.

SPEC CPU2006 results are ubiquitous

SPEC CPU2006 results are available on a large number of systems and system configurations. There are three reasons why so many results are available:

The SPEC CPU2006 benchmark functions across different server platforms.

The SPEC CPU2006 workloads are supplied by the SPEC organization as C, C++ and FORTRAN source code. The suite has been ported to and runs on numerous platforms and operating systems.

The SPEC CPU2006 benchmark does not take many hardware resources to run.

SPEC CPU2006 is a self contained benchmark – it does not take a stack of “driver” computers to supply the workload (like TPC-C or SPECweb2005)

SPEC CPU2006 does very little I/O so benchmark sponsors do not have to supply options like disk drives, RAID controllers, NICs, network switches or JBODs.

The European Commission Directive 93/36/EEC.

The European Commission Directive 93/36/EEC disallows references to a specific brand of microprocessors in a public tender and Article 28 of the EC Treaty has been interpreted to disallow the specification of a clock rate as a measure of performance for a microprocessor. Due to these limitations, SPEC CPU2006 metrics have become a common way to specify system performance requirements for competitive IT procurement in many EU public tenders.

The SPEC CPU2006 workload suites and key calculations

INT and FP: the two benchmark suites in SPEC CPU2006

The following table lists the applications used in the two different suites of SPEC CPU2006, the INT suite and the FP suite. INT stands for integer-math based workloads, and FP stands for floating point-math based workloads. The INT suite consists of a number of workloads involving integer math supplied as C and C++ code. The FP suite consists of highly scientific workloads involving floating point math supplied as C, C++ and FORTRAN code.

Table 1. INT and FP comparison

SPEC CPU2006 INT benchmark suite		SPEC CPU2006 FP benchmark suite	
Business applications	C, Perl programming language workloads	Chemistry and biology	Quantum chemistry
	“bzip” file compression workload		Quantum chromodynamics
	XML processing workload		Molecular dynamics
Scientific applications	Search gene sequencing	Physics	Fluid dynamics
	Quantum computing		General relativity
Problem solving applications	Video compression		Structural mechanics
	Combinatorial optimization		Electromagnetics
	Discrete event simulation		Weather prediction
	Artificial intelligence applications	Mathematics	Finite element analysis
	Linear programming		
	Ray-tracing		
	Speech recognition		

Interpreting the results

The following is a list of results categories that can be obtained from running the SPEC CPU2006 benchmark (specific results viewable at www.spec.org):

- SPECint_2006
- SPECint_base2006
- SPECfp_2006
- SPECfp_base2006
- SPECint_rate2006
- SPECint_rate_base2006
- SPECfp_rate2006
- SPECfp_rate_base2006

FP vs. INT

FP stands for floating point-math based workloads, and INT stands for integer-math based workloads.

Base vs. peak

The **base** metrics (e.g. SPECint_base2006) are measured when the workload applications are compiled with “standard” compiler options. The base metrics are required for all reported SPEC CPU2006 results and represent a user who compiles applications by using a simple build process.

The **peak** metrics (e.g. SPECint2006) are optional and have less strict compilation requirements. These runs represent the user with the patience to tune and optimize the build process.

Speed vs. rate

The speed run measures how long it takes to run the benchmark workloads end to end. The rate run measures the number of tasks a system can run in a certain amount of time.

The SPEC speed metrics (e.g., SPECint2006) are used for comparing the ability of a computer to complete single tasks. This metric will measure the performance the system using a single core of the system processor. This metric is greatly affected by the clock speed of the processor and the processor cache size.

The SPEC rate metrics (e.g., SPECint_rate2006) measure the throughput or rate of a machine carrying out a number of simultaneous tasks. This metric provides a good overall measure of the performance of today’s multi-core servers. SPEC rate metrics are typically most affected by the number of processor cores on a system and then by the clock speed and processor cache size.

Comparing SPEC CPU2006 results

SPEC CPU2006 results are available on the <http://www.spec.org> website. When comparing SPEC CPU2006 results, it is important to only compare like metrics. For example, it is useful to compare a SPECint_2006 score on one system with another SPECint_2006 score from another system; however it is not useful to compare a SPECint_2006 score with a SPECfp_rate_base2006 score. It is likewise not fair to compare a “peak” result from one system to a “base” result on another system. When comparing the overall performance of a multi-core system, use the SPEC rate metrics. When weighing single-threaded performance, consider the SPEC speed metrics.

HP ProLiant servers, server blades and SPEC CPU2006

Market leadership

HP ProLiant servers and server blades are a vital part of the HP success story. HP is the #1 vendor in worldwide server shipments. For the 21st consecutive quarter, more than 5 years, HP is the #1 vendor in worldwide server shipments. HP ships more than one out of every three servers in the world.¹

Proven performance

Proven performance is part of the reason that HP is #1 in server shipments. HP has posted hundreds of SPEC CPU2006 benchmark results on ProLiant servers and server blades, helping customers to identify reasons to be confident in HP.

Appendix

CINT2006 Workloads	CFP2006 Workloads
CINT2006: C & C++ applications	CFP2006: C, C++ & FORTRAN
400.perlbenc C PERL Programming Language	410.bwaves Fortran Fluid Dynamics
401.bzips2 C Compression	416.gamess Fortran Quantum Chemistry
403.gcc C C Compiler	433.milc C Physics: Quantum Chromodynamics
429.mcf C Combinatorial Optimization	434.zeusmp Fortran Physics/CFD
445.gobmk C Artificial Intelligence: go	435.gromacs C/Fortran Biochemistry/Molecular Dynamics
456.hmmcr C Search Gene Sequence	436.cactusADM C/Fortran Physics/General Relativity
458.sjeng C Artificial Intelligence: chess	437.leslie3d Fortran Fluid Dynamics
462.libquantum C Physics: Quantum Computing	444.namd C++ Biology/Molecular Dynamics
464.h264ref C Video Compression	447.dealII C++ Finite Element Analysis
471.omnetpp C++ Discrete Event Simulation	450.soplex C++ Linear Programming, Optimization
473.astar C++ Path-finding Algorithms	453.povray C++ Image Ray-tracing
483.xalanbmk C++ XML Processing	454.calculix C/Fortran Structural Mechanics
	459.GemsFDTD Fortran Computational Electromagnetics
	465.tonto Fortran Quantum Chemistry
	470.lbm C Fluid Dynamics
	481.wrf C/Fortran Weather Prediction
	482.sphinx3 C Speech recognition

For more information

www.hp.com/servers/benchmarks

www.spec.org

¹ IDC, Worldwide Technical Server QView Q1 2007, August 2007.

© 2007 Hewlett-Packard Development Company, L.P. The information contained herein is subject to change without notice. The only warranties for HP products and services are set forth in the express warranty statements accompanying such products and services. Nothing herein should be construed as constituting an additional warranty. HP shall not be liable for technical or editorial errors or omissions contained herein.

SPEC, the SPEC logo, and the benchmark names SPECint and SPECfp are registered trademarks of the Standard Performance Evaluation Corporation (SPEC). The SPEC logo is © 2007 Standard Performance Evaluation Corporation (SPEC), reprinted with permission. The competitive benchmark results stated herein reflect results published on www.spec.org as of the dates listed on their respective pages.

A full disclosure report describing these benchmark results has been filed with the Transaction Processing Performance Council (TPC) and is available upon request. The full disclosure report describes the benchmark hardware and software configuration in detail, provides costs, and lists the code actually used to perform the test. Similar reports from other vendors are the source of the price/performance comparisons provided above. Summaries of all tests are published each month by the TPC. Summaries are also posted on the Internet on the TPC World Wide Web Server. With these benchmarks, customers can objectively compare the performance of different vendors' servers in specific areas such as database throughput in transactions per minute (tpmC) and cost per transactions per minute (\$/tpmC).

October 2007

